February 13, 2024

Ms. Serena Lim
Rowell Brokaw Architects
1203 Willamette St., \#210
Eugene, OR 97401

## Re: Oregon State University <br> Azalea House $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor Remodel

Dear Serena,

Attached please find calculation sheets 1 through 96, dated February 13, 2024, which verify the structural adequacy of the OSU Azalea House Remodel Project as shown on drawings S-001 through S-602, dated February 13, 2024. Design is based on the requirements of the 2022 Oregon Structural Specialty Code, which is based on the 2021 International Building Code.

If you have any questions or need further information, please call me.

Sincerely,


Michael Arellano, PE



| 1, | Project | OSU Azalea Hous | ${ }^{\text {By }}$ MAA | Sheet No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location Corvallis, OR |  | Date 02/09/24 |  |
|  | Client | Rowell Brokaw | Revised | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Job No. } \\ 223346 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | Date |  |

## 2nd FLOOR LOADING

DL = 15 PSF
EXISTING LL = 50 PSF + 15 PSF Partitions (per DCI Renovation Dwgs, dated Feb 2, 2015)
OUTDOOR DECK
DL = 30 PSF
LL = 100 PSF
CANOPIES
DL = 15 PSF
LL = 25 PSF












## GL BEAM REINFORCING GRID E

24) Check PI reinforcing for loads imposed by new deck loading

Use Full Deck DL and LL (conservatively) rather than difference from original low roof framing and snow load.
-Deck Loads
PDL $=30$ psf $\times 8^{\prime} \times\left(4^{\prime} / 12^{\prime}\right)=80$ plf
PLL $=100 \mathrm{psf} \times 8^{\prime} \times\left(4^{\prime} / 12^{\prime}\right)=267 \mathrm{plf}$
Existing GL Beam span $=18{ }^{\prime}$
Mmax $=347$ plf $x 18^{\prime \wedge} 2 / 8=14,054 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ or 168.6 k -in
Plate Reinforcing $1 / 4$ " thick $\times 15$ " each side.
Splates $=2 \times b \times d^{\wedge} 2 / 6=2 \times .25 \times 15^{\wedge} 2 / 6=18.75$ in $^{\wedge} 3$

- Check plate stress $\mathrm{Fb}=168.6 / 18.75=8.99 \mathrm{ksi}$

Fallowable $=0.6 \times 36=24 \mathrm{ksi} \quad \mathrm{OK} / /$

| WoodWorks | COMPANY <br> KPFF Consulting Engineers <br> Feb. 12, 2024 10:34 | PROJECT <br> 1 - Typical Ceiling Joist.wwb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Design Check Calculation Sheet <br> WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude | Unit |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| tern | Start | End | Start End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full Area |  |  |  | $10.00(16.0 ")$ | psf |
| LL | Full Area |  |  |  | $25.00(16.0 ")$ | psf |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 4.0 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :



## 1-Typical Ceiling Joist

Lumber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.2, 2x12 (1-1/2"x11-1/4")
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Floor joist spaced at 16.0 " c/c; Total length: 20.05'; Clear span: 19.955'; Volume $=2.3$ cu.ft.
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to online help);
This section PASSES the design code check.
WARNING: Member length exceeds typical stock length of 18.0 [ft]
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=41$ | $\mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=207$ | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}{ }^{\prime}=0.20$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=961$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=1190$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.81$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.42=\mathrm{L} / 569$ | $0.67=\mathrm{L} / 360$ | in | 0.63 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.64=\mathrm{L} / 374$ | $1.00=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.64 |

## 1 - Typical Ceiling Joist.wwb

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | Cn | LC\# |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fv' | 180 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb'+ | 900 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp' | 625 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| E' $^{\prime}$ | 1.6 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Emin' | 0.58 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Shear : LC #2 = D+S
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+S
Deflection: LC #2 = D+S (live)
LC #2 = D+S (total)
Bearing : Support 1 - LC #2 = D+S
                                    Support 2 - LC #2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
    V max = 507, V design = 458 lbs; M(+) = 2534 lbs-ft
EI = 284.76e06 lb-in^2
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection = 1.0 dead + "live"
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.


COMPANY
KPFF Consulting Engineers
Feb. 12, 2024 10:36

PROJECT

3 - Ceiling Beam Grid 8.wwb

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location[ft] <br> tern | Magnitude <br> Start |  | End | Start |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| SL |  | End |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LL | Dead | Full UDL | No |  |  | 160.0 | plf |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL | No |  |  | 400.0 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

${ }^{* *}$ Minimum bearing length governed by the required width of the supporting member.

## 3 - Ceiling GL Beam Grid 8

Glulam-Balanced, West Species, 24F-V8 DF, 5-1/2"x13-1/2"
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Total length: $21.55^{\prime}$; Clear span: $16.857^{\prime}, 4.411^{\prime}$ '; Volume $=11.1$ cu.ft.; 9 laminations, $5-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum width, Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;

This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design | Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=92$ | Fv' = | 305 | psi | fv/Fv' $=0.30$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=1295$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=$ | 2760 | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.47$ |
| Bending (-) | $\mathrm{fb}=420$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=$ | 2674 | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}{ }^{\prime}=0.16$ |
| Deflection: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interior Live | $0.31=\mathrm{L} / 662$ | $0.57=$ | L/ 360 | in | 0.54 |
| Total | $0.44=\mathrm{L} / 459$ | $0.85=$ | L/240 | in | 0.52 |
| Cantil. Live | $-0.21=\mathrm{L} / 259$ | $0.30=$ | L/180 | in | 0.69 |
| Total | $-0.30=\mathrm{L} / 179$ | $0.45=$ | L/120 | in | 0.67 |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL | CV | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Notes | Cn*Cvr LC\# |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fv' | 265 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb'+ | 2400 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fb'- | 2400 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.969 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp' | 650 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| E' $^{\prime}$ | 1.8 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |
| Eminy' | 0.85 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:
Shear : LC \#2 = D+S
Bending (+) : LC \#2 = D+S
Bending(-): LC \#2 = D+S
Deflection: LC \#2 = D+S (live) LC \#2 = D+S (total)
Bearing : Support $1-L C \# 2=D+S$
Support 2 - LC \#2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
$V \max =5249, \mathrm{~V}$ design $=4550$ lbs; $\mathrm{M}(+)=18028$ lbs-ft; $\mathrm{M}(-)=5843 \mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{ft}$
$E I=2029.78 e 06$ lb-in^2
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection $=1.0$ dead + "live"
Lateral stability(-): $\mathrm{Lu}=17.00^{\prime} \mathrm{Le}=27.8^{\prime} \mathrm{RB}=12.2$; Lu based on full span

## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Glulam design values are for materials conforming to ANSI 117-2015 and manufactured in accordance with ANSI A190.1-2012
4. Grades with equal bending capacity in the top and bottom edges of the beam cross-section are recommended for continuous beams.
5. GLULAM: bxd = actual breadth $x$ actual depth.
6. Glulam Beams shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 3.3.3.
7. GLULAM: bearing length based on smaller of Fcp(tension), Fcp(comp'n).


Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude | Unit |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| tern | Start | End | Start | End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL |  |  |  | 70.0 | plf |  |
| LL | Full UDL |  |  |  | 175.0 | plf |  |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL |  |  |  | 9.7 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :


## 4-Ceiling GL Beam Grid D

Glulam-Unbalan., West Species, 24F-V4 DF, 3-1/2"x12"
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Total length: 19.59'; Clear span: 19.409'; Volume $=5.7$ cu.ft.; 8 laminations, $3-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum width, Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;

This section PASSES the design code check.
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=79$ | Fv' = 305 | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=0.26$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=1729$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=2760$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.63$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.63=\mathrm{L} / 372$ | $0.65=\mathrm{L} / 360$ | in | 0.97 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.91=\mathrm{L} / 256$ | $0.98=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.94 |

## 4 - Ceiling Beam Grid D.wwb

## Additional Data:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL | CV | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Notes | Cn*Cvr | LC\# |
| Fv' | 265 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb'+ | 2400 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp' | 650 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| E' $^{\prime}$ | 1.8 million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |  |
| Eminy' | 0.85 million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |  |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Shear : LC #2 = D+S
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+S
Deflection: LC #2 = D+S (live)
LC #2 = D+S (total)
Bearing : Support 1 - LC #2 = D+S
                                Support 2 - LC #2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
    V max = 2483, V design = 2217 lbs; M(+) = 12105 lbs-ft
EI = 907.19e06 lb-in^2
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection = 1.0 dead + "live"
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Glulam design values are for materials conforming to ANSI 117-2015 and manufactured in accordance with ANSI A190.1-2012
4. GLULAM: bxd = actual breadth x actual depth.
5. Glulam Beams shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 3.3.3.
6. GLULAM: bearing length based on smaller of Fcp(tension), Fcp(comp'n).

| (11) WoodWorks ${ }^{\oplus}$ | COMPANY <br> KPFF Consulting Engineers <br> Feb. 12, 2024 10:44 | PROJECT <br> 5 - Ceiling Beam Grid H.wwb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Check Calculation Sheet WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1) |  |  |

Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pattern | Location [ft] <br> Start End | Magnitude <br> Start End | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 90.0 | plf |
| LL | Snow | Full UDL |  |  | 225.0 | plf |
| Self-weight | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 11.4 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :


## 5-Ceiling GL Beam Grid H

Glulam-Unbalan., West Species, 24F-V4 DF, 5-1/2"x9"
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Total length: 14.56'; Clear span: 14.445 '; Volume $=5.0$ cu.ft.; 6 laminations, $5-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum width,
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;
This section PASSES the design code check.
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design | Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=64$ | Fv' = | 305 | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=0.21$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=1386$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {/ }}=$ | 2760 | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}{ }^{\prime}=0.50$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.37=\mathrm{L} / 467$ | $0.48=$ | L/360 | in | 0.77 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.54=\mathrm{L} / 322$ | $0.73=$ | L/240 | in | 0.74 |

## Additional Data:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL | CV | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Notes | Cn*Cvr | LC\# |
| Fv' | 265 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb'+ | 2400 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp' | 650 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| E' | 1.8 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |
| Eminy' | 0.85 million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |  |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Shear : LC #2 = D+S
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+S
Deflection: LC #2 = D+S (live)
LC #2 = D+S (total)
Bearing : Support 1 - LC #2 = D+S
                                Support 2 - LC #2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
V max = 2366, V design = 2113 lbs; M(+) = 8578 lbs-ft
EI = 601.42e06 lb-in^2
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection = 1.0 dead + "live"
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Glulam design values are for materials conforming to ANSI 117-2015 and manufactured in accordance with ANSI A190.1-2012
4. GLULAM: bxd = actual breadth $x$ actual depth.
5. Glulam Beams shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 3.3.3.
6. GLULAM: bearing length based on smaller of Fcp(tension), Fcp(comp'n).
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PROJECT

6 - Typical Header 4ft Span.wwb

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> tern |  | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Start | End | Start | End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 50.0 | plf |  |
| LL | Full UDL |  |  | 125.0 | plf |  |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 2.0 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :


*Minimum bearing length setting used: $1 / 2^{2}$ for end supports

## 6 - Typical Header 4ft Span

Lumber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.2, 2x6 (1-1/2"x5-1/2")
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Floor joist spaced at 12.0 " c/c; Total length: 4.04'; Clear span: 3.958 '; Volume $=0.2$ cu.ft.
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;
This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design | Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=49$ | $\mathrm{Fv}=207$ | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=0.24$ |  |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=562$ | $\mathrm{Fb}=1345$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.42$ |  |
| Live Defl'n | $0.02=<\mathrm{L} / 999$ | $0.13=\mathrm{L} / 360$ | in | 0.16 |  |
| Total Defl'n | $0.03=<\mathrm{L} / 999$ | $0.20=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.15 |  |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{psi})$ | CD | CM | Ct | CL | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | Cn | $\mathrm{LC} \mathrm{\#}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fv' | 180 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb' $^{\prime}$ | 900 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.300 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp' | 625 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| E' | 1.6 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Emin' | 0.58 | million | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |

```
CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:
    Shear : LC \#2 = D+S
    Bending(+): LC \#2 = D+S
    Deflection: LC \#2 = D+S (live)
    LC \#2 = D+S (total)
    Bearing : Support \(1-\mathrm{LC} \# 2=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{S}\)
    Support 2 - LC \#2 = D+S
    D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
    All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
    Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
    \(V \max =354, \mathrm{~V}\) design \(=269\) lbs; \(\mathrm{M}(+)=354\) lbs-ft
    \(E I=33.27 e 06\) lb-in^2
    "Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
    Total deflection \(=1.0\) dead + "live"
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.
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6 - Typical Header 6ft Span.wwb

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> tern |  | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Start | End | Start | End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 100.0 | plf |  |
| LL | Full UDL |  |  | 250.0 | plf |  |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 4.6 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :


*Minimum bearing length setting used: $1 / 2$ " for end supports

## 6 - Typical Header 6ft Span

Lumber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.2, 4x6 (3-1/2"x5-1/2")
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Floor joist spaced at 12.0 c/c; Total length: 6.04'; Clear span: $5.958^{\prime}$; Volume $=0.8$ cu.ft.
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;
This section PASSES the design code check.
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=70$ | $\mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=207$ | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=0.34$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=1085$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=1345$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.81$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.09=L / 766$ | $0.20=L / 360$ | in | 0.47 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.13=\mathrm{L} / 540$ | $0.30=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.44 |



## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

|  | COMPANY <br> KPFF Consulting Engineers <br> Feb. 12, 2024 10:57 | PROJECT <br> 6 - Typical Header 8ft Span.wwb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> tern |  | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Start | End | Start | End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL |  |  |  | 100.0 | plf |
| LL | Full UDL |  |  | 250.0 | plf |  |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Full UDL |  |  | 6.0 | plf |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :



## 6 - Typical Header 8ft Span

Lumber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.2, 4x8 (3-1/2"x7-1/4")
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Floor joist spaced at $12.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$; Total length: $8.05^{\prime}$; Clear span: 7.945 '; Volume $=1.4 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;
This section PASSES the design code check.
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=71$ | $\mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=207$ | psi | $\mathrm{fv} / \mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=0.34$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=1115$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=1345$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.83$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.13=\mathrm{L} / 740$ | $0.27=\mathrm{L} / 360$ | in | 0.49 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.18=\mathrm{L} / 520$ | $0.40=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.46 |


| Additional Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | $C D$ | CM | Ct | CL | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | Cn | LC\# |
| Fv' | 180 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb' ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 900 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.300 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp ${ }^{\prime}$ | 625 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ | 1.6 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Emin' | 0.58 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shear : LC \#2 = D+S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bending (+): LC \#2 = D+S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deflection: LC \#2 = D+S (live) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bearing | Bearing : Support 1-LC \#2 = D+S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All LC's are listed in the Analysis output <br> Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CALCULATIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V max $=1424, \mathrm{~V}$ design $=1199$ lbs; $\mathrm{M}(+)=2848 \mathrm{lbs}$ - ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.


## Design Check Calculation Sheet <br> WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> Starn |  | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | End | Start End |  |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Partial Area |  | 0.03 | 8.03 | $30.00(16.0 ")$ | psf |
| LL | Partial Area |  | 0.03 | 8.03 | $100.00(16.0 ")$ | psf |  |
| Self-weight | Live |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dead | Full UDL |  |  |  | 6.7 | plf |  |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :


*Minimum bearing length setting used: $1 / 2^{"}$ for end supports

## 7-2nd FI Deck Joist

Lumber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.2, 3x12 (2-1/2"x11-1/4")
Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Floor joist spaced at 16.0 " c/c; Total length: 12.05 '; Clear span: 11.953 '; Volume $=2.4$ cu.ft.
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to online help);
This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear | $\mathrm{fv}=43$ | $\mathrm{Fv}^{\prime}=180$ | psi | $f v / F v^{\prime}=0.24$ |
| Bending (+) | $\mathrm{fb}=588$ | $\mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=1035$ | psi | $\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{Fb}^{\prime}=0.57$ |
| Live Defl'n | $0.10=<L / 999$ | $0.40=\mathrm{L} / 360$ | in | 0.25 |
| Total Defl'n | $0.13=<L / 999$ | $0.60=\mathrm{L} / 240$ | in | 0.22 |

## 7-2nd FI Deck Joist.wwb

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS : | F/E(psi) | $C D$ | CM | Ct | CL | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | Cn | LC\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fv' | 180 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb' ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 900 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp ${ }^{\prime}$ | 625 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| E' | 1.6 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Emin' | 0.58 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Shear : LC #2 = D+L
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+L
Deflection: LC #2 = D+L (live)
                LC #2 = D+L (total)
Bearing : Support 1 - LC #2 = D+L
                                Support 2 - LC #2 = D+L
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
    V max = 965, V design = 798 lbs; M(+) = 2584 lbs-ft
    EI = 474.60e06 lb-in^2
    "Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection = 1.0 dead + "live"
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

| (11) WoodWorks ${ }^{\circ}$ | COMPANY <br> KPFF Consulting Engineers Feb. 12, 2024 11:45 | PROJECT <br> 8-2nd FI Beam Grid C.wwb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Pat- | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> tern |  | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Start | End | Start | End |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Full UDL | No |  |  | 160.0 | plf |
| LL | Live | Full UDL | No |  |  | 533.0 | plf |
| Self-weight | Dead | Full UDL | No |  | 15.2 | plf |  |

Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

${ }^{* *}$ Minimum bearing length governed by the required width of the supporting member.

## 8-2nd FI GL Beam Grid C <br> Glulam-Balanced, West Species, 24F-V8 DF, 5-1/2"x12"

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No. 2
Total length: 14.3'; Clear span: 12.634', 1.436 '; Volume = 6.6 cu.ft.; 8 laminations, 5-1/2" maximum width,
Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports;
This section PASSES the design code check.

## 8-2nd FI Beam Grid C.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :



## Additional Data:

| FACTORS : | F/E (psi) | $C D$ | CM | Ct | CL | CV | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Notes | $\mathrm{Cn} * \mathrm{Cvr}$ | LC\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fv' | 265 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| Fb ${ }^{\prime}+$ | 2400 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fb ${ }^{\prime}$ - | 2400 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.985 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 2 |
| Fcp ${ }^{\prime}$ | 650 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ | 1.8 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |
| Eminy ${ }^{\prime}$ | 0.85 mil | lion | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Shear : LC #2 = D+L
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+L
Bending(-): LC #2 = D+L
Deflection: LC #2 = D+L (live)
                                    LC #2 = D+L (total)
    Bearing : Support 1 - LC #2 = D+L
                            Support 2 - LC #2 = D+L
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
CALCULATIONS:
V max = 4577, V design = 3824 lbs; \(M(+)=13995\) lbs-ft; \(M(-)=797\) lbs-ft
EI = 1425.58e06 lb-in^2
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow...)
Total deflection = 1.0 dead + "live"
Lateral stability(-): Lu = 12.75' Le = 21.38' RB = 10.1; Lu based on full span
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
3. Glulam design values are for materials conforming to ANSI 117-2015 and manufactured in accordance with ANSI A190.1-2012
4. Grades with equal bending capacity in the top and bottom edges of the beam cross-section are recommended for continuous beams.
5. GLULAM: bxd = actual breadth x actual depth.
6. Glulam Beams shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 3.3.3.
7. GLULAM: bearing length based on smaller of $\operatorname{Fcp}(t e n s i o n)$, $\mathrm{Fcp}\left(\mathrm{comp}^{\prime} \mathrm{n}\right)$.

| Project OSU Azalea House | By MAA | Sheet No. |
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## 9 - TYPICAL CANOPY BEAM

In accordance with AISC360 15th Edition published 2016 using the LRFD method

## ANALYSIS

Tedds calculation version 1.0.36

## Geometry

Geometry (ft) - Steel (AISC) - W 6x15


| Span | Length (ft) | Section | Start Support | End Support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | $\mathrm{~W} 6 \times 15$ | Pinned | Roller Pin X |
| 2 | 1.5 | $\mathrm{~W} 6 \times 15$ | Roller Pin X | Free |

W 6x15: Area $4 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, Inertia Major $29 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, Inertia Minor $9 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, Shear area parallel to Minor $1 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, Shear area parallel to Major 3 in $^{2}$
Steel (AISC): Density 490 lbm/ft ${ }^{3}$, Youngs 29000 ksi, Shear 11200 ksi, Thermal $0.000012{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$

## Loading

Self weight included
Dead - Loading (kips/ft)


Live - Loading (kips/ft)


Load combination factors

| Load combination | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \\ & 3_{0}^{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2D + 1.6L (Strength) | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.60 |
| 1.0D + 1.0L (Service) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Portland, Oregon
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Member Loads

| Member | Load case | Load Type | Orientation | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Beam | Dead | UDL | GlobalZ | $0.18 \mathrm{kips} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Beam | Live | UDL | GlobalZ | $0.3 \mathrm{kips} / \mathrm{tt}$ |

## Results

## Forces



Service combinations - Deflection envelope (in)


## Resistance factors

Shear
Flexure
Tensile yielding
Tensile rupture
Compression
$\phi_{v}=1.00$
$\phi_{b}=0.90$
$\phi_{t, y}=0.90$
$\phi_{t, r}=0.75$
$\phi_{\mathrm{c}}=0.90$

| 2 | Project OSU Azalea House | By MAA |
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## Beam - Span 1 design

## Section details

Section type W 6x15 (AISC 15th Edn (v15.0))
ASTM steel designation
A992
Steel yield stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=50 \mathrm{ksi}$
Steel tensile stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}=65 \mathrm{ksi}$
Modulus of elasticity
$\mathrm{E}=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$


W 6x15 (AISC 15th Edn (v15.0)) Section depth, d, 5.99 in Section breadth, h, 5.99 in Weight of section, Weight, $15 \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{ft}$ Flange thickness, $\downarrow, 0.26$ in Web thickness, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}, 0.23$ in Area of section, A, 4.4 if Radius of gyration about x-axis, $\mathrm{r}, 2.56$ in Radius of gyration about $y$-axis, $r, 1.45$ in Elastic section modulus about $x$-axis, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{X}}, 9.72 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ Elastic section modulus about $y$-axis, S., $3.11 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ Plastic section modulus about $x$-axis, $Z_{k}, 10.8$ in $^{3}$ Plastic section modulus about $y$-axis, $Z, 4.75$ in $^{3}$ Second moment of area about $x$-axis, $\chi, 29.1$ in $^{4}$ Second moment of area about $y$-axis, $\downarrow, 9.32$ in $^{4}$

## Lateral restraint

Top flange has full lateral restraint
Bottom flange has lateral restraint at supports only

## Classification of sections for local buckling - Section B4

## Classification of flanges in flexure - Table B4.1b (case 10)

Width to thickness ratio
$b_{f} /\left(2 \times t_{f}\right)=11.52$
Limiting ratio for compact section
Limiting ratio for non-compact section
$\lambda_{\text {pff }}=0.38 \times \sqrt{ }\left[E / F_{y}\right]=9.15$

Classification of web in flexure - Table B4.1b (case 15)
Width to thickness ratio
$(\mathrm{d}-2 \times \mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=21.61$
Limiting ratio for compact section
Limiting ratio for non-compact section
$\lambda_{\text {pwf }}=3.76 \times \sqrt{ }\left[E / F_{y}\right]=90.55$
$\lambda_{\text {ruf }}=5.70 \times \sqrt{ }\left[E / F_{y}\right]=137.27$ Compact
Section is noncompact in flexure

## Check design at start of span

Design of members for shear - Chapter G

Required shear strength
Web area
$V_{r, x}=3.9 \mathrm{kips}$
$A_{w}=d \times t_{w}=1.378 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
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Web plate buckling coefficient

Web shear coefficient - eq G2-2
Nominal shear strength - eq G2-1
Resistance factor
Design shear strength
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}=5.34$
$(\mathrm{d}-2 \times \mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}<=2.24 \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 1}=1.000$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}=0.6 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{A}_{w} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 1}=41.3 \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi_{v}=1.00$
$V_{c, x}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n, x}=41.3 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{r, x} / V_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}}=0.093$
PASS - Design shear strength exceeds required shear strength

## Check design 5ft 4.773in along span

Design of members for flexure - Chapter F
Required flexural strength
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{X}}=10.4$ kips_ft

## Compression flange local buckling - Section F3.2

$\lambda=b_{f} /\left(2 \times t_{f}\right)=11.519$
Nominal flexural strength for compression flange local buckling - eq F3-1
$M_{n, f l}, x=M_{p, x}-\left(M_{p, x}-0.7 \times F_{y} \times S_{x}\right) \times\left(\lambda-\lambda_{p f f}\right) /\left(\lambda_{\text {ff }}-\lambda_{p f f}\right)=42.4$
kips_ft

## Design flexural strength - F1

Nominal flexural strength
Design flexural strength
$M_{n, x}=M_{n, f l b, x}=42.4$ kips_ft
$M_{c, x}=\phi_{b} \times M_{n, x}=38.1$ kips_ft
$M_{r, x} / M_{c, x}=0.273$
PASS - Design flexural strength exceeds required flexural strength

## Check design at end of span

Design of members for shear - Chapter G

Required shear strength
Web area
Web plate buckling coefficient

Web shear coefficient - eq G2-2
Nominal shear strength - eq G2-1
Resistance factor
Design shear strength
$V_{r, x}=4 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{d} \times \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.378 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}=5.34$
$(\mathrm{d}-2 \times \mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}<=2.24 \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 1}=1.000$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}=0.6 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 1}=\mathbf{4 1 . 3} \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi_{v}=1.00$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}=41.3 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{r, x} / V_{c, x}=0.097$
PASS - Design shear strength exceeds required shear strength

## Design of members for flexure - Chapter $F$

Required flexural strength
Plastic moment - eq F2-1
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{X}}=0.8 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=45$ kips_ft
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## Lateral-torsional buckling - Section F3.1

Unbraced length
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=11 \mathrm{ft}$
Limiting unbraced length for yielding - eq F2-5 $L_{p}=1.76 \times r_{y} \times \sqrt{ }\left(E / F_{y}\right)=5.122 \mathrm{ft}$
Distance between flange centroids
$h_{0}=5.73$ in
$\mathrm{c}=1$
$\mathrm{r}_{\text {ts }}=1.66$ in
Limiting unbraced length for inelastic LTB - eq F2-6 $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.95 \times \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ts}} \times \mathrm{E} /\left(0.7 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \times \sqrt{ }\left(\left(\mathrm{J} \times \mathrm{c} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right)+\sqrt{ }((\mathrm{J} \times \mathrm{c} /\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\left(S_{x} \times h_{0}\right)\right)^{2}+6.76 \times\left(0.7 \times F_{y} / E\right)^{2}\right)\right)=16.482 \mathrm{ft}$
Moment at quarter point of segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=7.9 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
Moment at center-line of segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=10.4$ kips_ft
Moment at three quarter point of segment
$\mathrm{Mc}=7.5 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
Maximum moment in segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}=\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ kips_ft
LTB modification factor - eq F1-1
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=12.5 \times \mathrm{M}_{\text {max }} /\left(2.5 \times \mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}+3 \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}+4 \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}+3 \times \mathrm{Mc}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=\mathbf{1 . 1 4 3}$
Nominal flexural strength for lateral-torsional buckling - eq F2-2
$M_{n, t t b, x}=\min \left(C_{b} \times\left(M_{p, x}-\left(M_{p, x}-0.7 \times F_{y} \times S_{x}\right) \times\left(L_{b}-L_{p}\right) /\left(L_{r}-L_{p}\right)\right)\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{x}}\right)=41.6 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$

## Compression flange local buckling - Section F3.2

$\lambda=b_{f} /\left(2 \times t_{f}\right)=11.519$
Nominal flexural strength for compression flange local buckling - eq F3-1
$M_{n, f l i, x}=M_{p, x}-\left(M_{p, x}-0.7 \times F_{y} \times S_{x}\right) \times\left(\lambda-\lambda_{p f f}\right) /\left(\lambda_{\text {fff }}-\lambda_{p f f}\right)=42.4$
kips_ft

## Design flexural strength - F1

Nominal flexural strength
$M_{n, x}=\min \left(M_{n, t t b, x}, M_{n, f l b, x}\right)=41.6$ kips_ft
Design flexural strength
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}}=\phi_{\mathrm{b}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}=37.4 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}}=0.021$
PASS - Design flexural strength exceeds required flexural strength

## Consider Combination 2-1.0D + 1.0L (Service)

## Check design 5ft 5.586in along span

Design of members for x -x axis deflection

Maximum deflection
Allowable deflection
$\delta_{\mathrm{x}}=0.19$ in
$\delta_{x, \text { Allowable }}=L_{\text {m1_s } 1} / 360=0.367$ in
$\delta_{x} / \delta_{x, \text { Allowable }}=0.519$
PASS - Allowable deflection exceeds design deflection

TABLE 3
BENDING STRESS AND MODULUS OF ELASTICITY VALUES FOR HEAVY TIMBER DECKING SPECIES ${ }^{a}$

| Species | Select Quality |  | Commercial Quality |  | Agency ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bending } \\ \text { Stress } \\ \text { psi } \end{gathered}$ | Modulus of Elasticity ${ }^{\text {C }}$ psi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bending } \\ \text { Stress } \\ \text { psi } \end{gathered}$ | Modulus of Elasticity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ psi |  |
| Cedar, Northern White Cedars, Western Cedars, Western (North) Coast Species | $\begin{aligned} & 1100 \\ & 1450 \\ & 1400 \\ & 1450 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800,000 \\ 1,100,000 \\ 1,100,000 \\ 1,500,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 950 \\ & 1200 \\ & 1200 \\ & 1200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700,000 \\ 1,000,000 \\ 1,000,000 \\ 1,400,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 3,4 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Douglas Fir-Larch <br> Dougias Fir-Larch (North) <br> Douglas Fir (South) <br> Fir, Balsam | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & 2000 \\ & 1900 \\ & 1650 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,800,000 \\ & 1,800,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1650 \\ & \hline 1650 \\ & 1600 \\ & 1400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,700,000 \\ & 1,700,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Hem-Fir <br> Hem-Fir (North) <br> Hemlock, Eastern-Tamarack <br> Hemlock, Eastern-Tamarack (North) | $\begin{aligned} & 1600 \\ & 1500 \\ & 1700 \\ & 1700 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1350 \\ & 1300 \\ & 1450 \\ & 1450 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,100,000 \\ & 1,100,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Hemlock, Western <br> Hemlock, Western (North) <br> Northern Species | $\begin{aligned} & 1750 \\ & 1750 \\ & 1050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600,000 \\ & 1,600,000 \\ & 1,1,00,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1450 \\ & 1450 \\ & 875 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pine, Eastern White <br> Pine, Eastern White (North) <br> Pine, Northern | $\begin{aligned} & 1300 \\ & 1050 \\ & 1550 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,200,000 \\ & 1,200,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1100 \\ 875 \\ 1300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100,000 \\ & 1,100,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pine, Ponderosa <br> Pine, Red <br> Pine, Southern <br> Pine, Western White | $\begin{aligned} & 1450 \\ & 1350 \\ & 1650 \\ & 1300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,300,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & 1,600,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1250 \\ & 1100 \\ & 1650 \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100,000 \\ & 1,200,000 \\ & 1,600,000 \\ & 1,300,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Redwood, California SPF, South Spruce, Coast Sitka Spruce, Eastern | $\begin{aligned} & 1700 \\ & 1350 \\ & 1450 \\ & 1300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & 1,700,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1350 \\ & 1100 \\ & 1200 \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & 1,200,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 1,3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Spruce-Pine-Fir Spruce, Sitka Westem Woods | $\begin{aligned} & 1400 \\ & 1500 \\ & 1300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \\ & 1,200,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1150 \\ & 1250 \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | $1,300,000$ $1,300,000$ $1,100,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The design values in bending $\left(F_{b}\right)$, except for Redwood, are based on decking 4 in. thick. For other thicknesses, multiply by the size factor, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}$, as follows:

| Thickness | $\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{in}$. | 1.10 |
| $3 \mathrm{in}$. | 1.04 |

Design values for visually graded decking are those recommended by the regional lumber rules writing agencies. These values are ased on decking that is used where the moisture content in-service will not exceed $19 \%$. When the moisture content inservice exceeds $19 \%$ for an extended period of time, the tabular design values shall be multiplied by the wet service factor, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}}$, as follows:

*When $\left(F_{b}\right)\left(C_{F}\right)<1150$ psi, $C_{M}=1.0$ for bending.
b Repetitive member use values.
c The tabulated values for modulus of elasticity are the average for the species grouping. For information concerning coefficient of variation of modulus of elasticity, see the appropriate grading rules for the species.
${ }^{d}$ Stresses listed are as assigned by the following grading rules agencies: NELMA (1), NLGA (Canadian) (2), WWPA (3), WCLIB (4), SPIB (5), and RIS (6).
e If specified as "close grain", California Redwood select decking is assigned a bending stress value of 1850 psi and a modulus of elasticity value of $1,400,000$ psi when used at $19 \%$ M.C.

## TABLE 6

## THREE AND FOUR INCH NOMINAL THICKNESS ALLOWABLE ROOF LOAD LIMITED BY BENDING SIMPLE SPAN AND CONTROLLED RANDOM LAYUPS (3 or more spans)

| Bending <br> Stress <br> psi | Allowable Uniformly Distributed Total Roof Load ${ }^{\text {a, c, e, f, g }}$, psf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 inch Nominal Thickness ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Span, ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 inch Nominal Thickness Span, ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 875 | 114 | 90 | 73 | 60 | 51 | 43 | 37 | 32 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 223 | 176 | 143 | 118 | 99 | 84 | 73 | 64 | 56 | 49 | 44 | 40 | 36 |
| 950 | 124 | 98 | 79 | 65 | 55 | 47 | 40 | 35 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 242 | 192 | 155 | 128 | 108 | 92 | 79 | 69 | 61 | 54 | 48 | 43 | 39 |
| 1000 | 130 | 103 | 83 | 69 | 58 | 49 | 42 | 37 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 255 | 202 | 163 | 135 | 113 | 97 | 83 | 72 | 64 | 56 | 50 | 45 | 41 |
| 1050 | 137 | 108 | 88 | 72 | 61 | 52 | 45 | 39 | 34 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 268 | 212 | 172 | 142 | 119 | 101 | 88 | 76 | 67 | 59 | 53 | 48 | 43 |
| 1100 | 143 | 113 | 92 | 76 | 64 | 54 | 47 | 41 | 36 | 32 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 281 | 222 | 180 | 148 | 125 | 106 | 92 | 80 | 70 | 62 | 55 | 50 | 45 |
| 1150 | 150 | 118 | 96 | 79 | 66 | 57 | 49 | 42 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 26 | 24 | 293 | 232 | 188 | 155 | 130 | 111 | 96 | 83 | 73 | 65 | 58 | 52 | 47 |
| 1200 | 156 | 123 | 100 | 83 | 69 | 59 | 51 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 25 | 306 | 242 | 196 | 162 | 136 | 116 | 100 | 87 | 76 | 68 | 60 | 54 | 49 |
| 1250 | 163 | 129 | 104 | 86 | 72 | 62 | 53 | 46 | 41 | 36 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 319 | 252 | 204 | 169 | 142 | 121 | 104 | 91 | 80 | 71 | 63 | 56 | 51 |
| 1300 | 169 | 134 | 108 | 90 | 75 | 64 | 55 | 48 | 42 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 27 | 332 | 262 | 212 | 175 | 147 | 126 | 108 | 94 | 83 | 73 | 66 | 59 | 53 |
| 1350 | 176 | 139 | 112 | 93 | 78 | 66 | 57 | 50 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 344 | 272 | 220 | 182 | 153 | 130 | 112 | 98 | 86 | 76 | 68 | 61 | 55 |
| 1400 | 182 | 144 | 117 | 96 | 81 | 69 | 60 | 52 | 46 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 29 | 357 | 282 | 229 | 189 | 159 | 135 | 117 | 102 | 89 | 79 | 70 | 63 | 57 |
| 1450 | 189 | 149 | 121 | 100 | 84 | 71 | 62 | 54 | 47 | 42 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 370 | 292 | 237 | 196 | 164 | 140 | 121 | 105 | 92 | 82 | 73 | 66 | 59 |
| 1500 | 195 | 154 | 125 | 103 | 87 | 74 | 64 | 56 | 49 | 43 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 383 | 302 | 245 | 202 | 170 | 145 | 125 | 109 | 96 | 85 | 76 | 68 | 61 |
| 1550 | 202 | 159 | 129 | 107 | 90 | 76 | 66 | 57 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 396 | 312 | 253 | 209 | 176 | 150 | 129 | 112 | 99 | 88 | 78 | 70 | 63 |
| 1600 | 208 | 165 | 133 | 110 | 92 | 79 | 68 | 59 | 52 | 46 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 408 | 323 | 261 | 216 | 181 | 155 | 133 | 116 | 102 | 90 | 81 | 72 | 65 |
| 1650 | 215 | 170 | 138 | 114 | 95 | 81 | 70 | 61 | 54 | 48 | 42 | 38 | 34 | 421 | 333 | 270 | 223 | 187 | 159 | 138 | 120 | 105 | 93 | 83 | 75 | 67 |
| 1700 | 221 | 175 | 142 | 117 | 98 | 84 | 72 | 63 | 55 | 49 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 434 | 343 | 278 | 229 | 193 | 164 | 142 | 123 | 108 | 96 | 86 | 77 | 69 |
| 1750 | 228 | 180 | 146 | 120 | 101 | 86 | 74 | 65 | 57 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 36 | 447 | 353 | 286 | 236 | 198 | 169 | 146 | 127 | 112 | 99 | 88 | 79 | 71 |
| 1900 | 247 | 195 | 158 | 131 | 110 | 94 | 81 | 70 | 62 | 55 | 49 | 44 | 40 | 485 | 383 | 310 | 256 | 216 | 184 | 158 | 138 | 121 | 107 | 96 | 86 | 78 |
| 2000 | 260 | 206 | 167 | 138 | 116 | 99 | 85 | 74 | 65 | 58 | 51 | 46 | 42 | 510 | 403 | 327 | 270 | 227 | 193 | 167 | 145 | 128 | 113 | 101 | 90 | 82 |

a These load values may also be used for cantilevered pieces intermixed, combination simple span and two-span continuous, and two-span continuous layups.
b 2-1/2 in. net thickness. To determine allowable loads for 2-5/8 in. net thickness, multiply tabulated loads by 1.10.
c All spans to the right of the double line require special ordering of additional long lengths to assure that at least $20 \%$ of the decking is equal to the span length or longer.
d 3-1/2 in. net thickness.
e Duration of load, $C_{D}=1.0$ used in this table. For other durations of load, adjust by the appropriate factor.
f No increase for size effect has been applied $\left(C_{F}=1.00\right) . F_{b}$ values have been previously adjusted.
9 Dry conditions of use.
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## PROJECT

11 - Critical Grid 8 Column.wwc

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

## Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | Location [ft] | Magnitude <br> Start End |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start End | Unit |  |  |  |  |
| DL | Dead | Axial | (EcC. = 0.00") | 2408 | lbs |
| LL | Axial | (Ecc. =0.00") | 5438 | lbs |  |
| Self-weight | Snow | Dead | Axial |  | 72 |

## Reactions (lbs):



## 11 - Critical grid 8 Column

Timber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.1, 6x6 (5-1/2"x5-1/2")
Support: Non-wood
Total length: 10.0 '; Volume $=2.1$ cu.ft.; Post or timber Pinned base; Ke $\times$ Lb: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$; Ke $\times$ Ld: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$;

This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design $V$ Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Axial | $\mathrm{fc}=262$ | $\mathrm{Fc}^{\prime}=738$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{FC}^{\prime}=0.35$ |
| Axial Bearing | $\mathrm{fc}=262$ | $\mathrm{FC}^{\star}=1150$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{FC}^{\star}=0.23$ |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E (psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL/CP | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | LC\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FC' | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.641 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| FC* | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |

## CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Axial : LC #2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

| (11) WoodWorks ${ }^{\oplus}$ <br> SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN |  |  | COMPANY <br> KPFF Consulting Engineers <br> Feb. 13, 2024 10:28 |  | PROJECT <br> 12-Critical Grid D and G Post.wwc |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Check Calculation Sheet WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loads: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Load | Type | Distribution | Location [ft] Start End | Magnitude Start |  | Unit |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { DL } \\ \mathrm{LL} \end{array}$ | Dead Snow Dead | Axial Axial Axial | (Ecc. $=0.00 ")$ (Ecc. $=0.00 ")$ | $\begin{array}{r} 780 \\ 1714 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Reactions (lbs):



## 12 - Critical grid D and G Post <br> Lumber Post, D.Fir-L, No.2, 4x4 (3-1/2"x3-1/2")

Support: Non-wood
Total length: 10.0'; Volume $=0.9$ cu.ft.
Pinned base; Ke x Lb: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$; Ke x Ld: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$;
This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Axial | $\mathrm{fc}=206$ | $\mathrm{FC}^{\prime}=384$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{FC}^{\prime}=0.54$ |
| Axial Bearing | $\mathrm{fc}=206$ | $\mathrm{FC}^{\star}=1785$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{FC}^{\star}=0.12$ |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL/CP | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | LC\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FC' | 1350 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.215 | 1.150 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| FC* | 1350 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.150 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:
Axial : LC \#2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2

## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
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PROJECT

13-Critical Grid H Post.wwc

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

## Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Location } & {[f t]} \\ \text { Start } & \text { End } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Magnitude <br> Start End | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DL | Dead | Axial | (Ecc. $=0.00^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 900 | lbs |
| LL | Snow | Axial | $\left(\right.$ Ecc. $=0.00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 2250 | lbs |
| Self-weight | Dead | Axial |  | 72 | lbs |

## Reactions (lbs):



## 13 - Critical grid H Post <br> Timber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.1, $6 \times 6$ (5-1/2"x5-1/2") Support: Non-wood <br> Total length: 10.0 '; Volume $=2.1$ cu.ft.; Post or timber

 Pinned base; Ke $\times$ Lb: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$; Ke $\times$ Ld: $1.0 \times 10.0=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$;This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Axial | $\mathrm{fc}=107$ | $\mathrm{Fc}=738$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{\prime}=0.14$ |
| Axial Bearing | $\mathrm{fc}=107$ | $\mathrm{FC}^{\star}=1150$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{FC}^{\star}=0.09$ |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E (psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL/CP | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | LC\# |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FC' | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.641 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| FC* $^{*}$ | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |

## CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:

```
Axial : LC #2 = D+S
D=dead L=live S=snow W=wind I=impact Lr=roof live Lc=concentrated E=earthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2
```


## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.


Gravity Beam Design
RAM SBeam v5.01
OSU Azalea House
Entry Canopy Beam

## STEEL CODE: AISC 360-05 ASD

| SPAN INFORMATION (ft): | I-End (0.00,0.00) | J-End (5.33,0.00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ Fy $=46.0 \mathrm{ksi}$

Mp (kip-ft) $=32.70$
Top flange braced by decking.

## LINE LOADS (k/ft):

| Load | Dist (ft) | DL | LL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
| 3 | 2.000 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
|  | 2.333 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| 4 | 2.000 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
|  | 2.333 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
| 5 | 2.333 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
|  | 5.333 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| 6 | 2.333 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
|  | 5.333 | 0.090 | 0.150 |

SHEAR: Max Va $(D L+L L)=2.85 \mathrm{kips} \quad \mathrm{Vn} / 1.67=46.21 \mathrm{kips}$
MOMENTS:

| Span | Cond | LoadCombo | Ma <br> kip-ft | ft | Lb <br> ft | Cb | $\Omega$ | $\mathrm{Mn} / \Omega$ <br> $\mathrm{kip}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Left |  | Max - | DL+LL | -0.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1.67 |
| Center | Max - | DL+LL | -1.1 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.29 | 1.67 | 19.58 |
| Right | Max - | DL+LL | -1.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 19.58 |
| Controlling |  | DL+LL | -1.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 19.58 |

## REACTIONS (kips):

|  | Left | Right |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DL reaction | -0.56 | 1.12 |
| Max +LL reaction | 1.23 | 2.50 |
| Max -LL reaction | -2.03 | -0.90 |
| Max +total reaction | 0.67 | 3.61 |
| Max -total reaction | -2.59 | 0.22 |

## DEFLECTIONS:

## Left cantilever:

Dead load (in)
$=-0.001$
Pos Live load (in)
$=-0.001$
$\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}=38136$
Pos Total load (in)
$=-0.002$
$\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}=22475$

## Gravity Beam Design

| Center span: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dead load (in) | at | $2.18 \mathrm{ft}=$ | 0.000 |
| Live load (in) | at | $2.18 \mathrm{ft}=$ | 0.000 |
| Net Total load (in) | at | $2.18 \mathrm{ft}=$ | 0.000 |
| Right cantilever: |  |  |  |
| Dead load (in) | -0.004 | L/D $=20196$ |  |
| Pos Live load (in) | $=-0.005$ | L/D $=14082$ |  |
| Pos Total load (in) | $=-0.009$ | L/D $=8297$ |  |


| 2 | Project OSU Azalea House | By MAA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Portland, Oregon | Location Corvallis, OR | Date $2 / 12 / 2024$ |
|  | Revised |  |
|  |  | Date |

## 15 - ENTRY CANOPY COLUMN

Steel column design in accordance with AISC360-16 and the LRFD method


## Column and loading details

## Column details

Column section
HSS 4x0.250

## Design loading

Required axial strength
Maximum moment about $x$ axis
Maximum moment about $y$ axis
Maximum shear force parallel to $y$ axis
Maximum shear force parallel to $x$ axis
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=2$ kips (Compression)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.9 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}=3.2 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$V_{\text {ry }}=0.0 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {rx }}=0.3 \mathrm{kips}$

## Material details

Steel grade
Yield strength
Ultimate strength
Modulus of elasticity
Shear modulus of elasticity
A500 Gr. B
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=42 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}=58 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{E}=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$
G = 11200 ksi
Unbraced lengths
For buckling about x axis
For buckling about y axis
For torsional buckling
$L_{x}=120$ in
$\mathrm{L}_{y}=120$ in
$L_{z}=120$ in

## Effective length factors

For buckling about x axis
$K_{x}=1.00$
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For buckling about y axis
For torsional buckling

## Effective unbraced lengths

For buckling about $x$ axis
For buckling about y axis
For torsional buckling
$K_{y}=1.00$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=1.00$
$L_{c x}=L_{x} \times K_{x}=120$ in
$L_{c y}=L_{y} \times K_{y}=120$ in
$L_{c z}=L_{z} \times K_{z}=120 \mathrm{in}$

## Section classification

Section classification for local buckling (cl. B4)
Width to thickness ratio

$$
\lambda=D_{o} / t=17.167
$$

## Compression

Limit for nonslender section
$\lambda_{r_{-} c}=0.11 \times E / F_{y}=75.952$
The section is nonslender in compression

## Flexure

Limit for compact section
Limit for noncompact section
$\lambda_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}}}=0.07 \times \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=48.333$
$\lambda_{r_{-} f}=0.31 \times E / F_{y}=214.048$
The section is compact in flexure

## Slenderness

Member slenderness
Slenderness ratio about $x$ axis
$\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cx}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{x}}=90.2$
Slenderness ratio about $y$ axis
$S R_{y}=L_{c y} / r_{y}=90.2$

## Second order effects

Second order effects for bending about y axis (cl. C2.1b)
Second order effects are already included or do not need to be considered therefore:-

P- $\delta$ amplifier
Required flexural strength (x axis)
Required flexural strength (y axis)
$B_{1 x}=B_{1 y}=1.0$
$M_{r x}=B_{1 x} \times M_{x}=0.9$ kips_ft
$M_{r y}=B_{1 y} \times M_{y}=3.2$ kips_ft

## Design of members for shear parallel to $\mathbf{x}$ axis - Chapter $\mathbf{G}$

Required shear strength
Nominal shear strength - eq G5-1
Resistance factor for shear
Design shear strength

## Compressive strength

Elastic critical buckling stress

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=\pi^{2} \times \mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}=35.2 \mathrm{ksi}
$$
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Flexural buckling stress
$F_{c r x}=\left(0.658 F_{y} / F_{\text {ex }}\right) \times F_{y}=25.5 \mathrm{ksi}$
Nominal compressive strength for flexural buckling $\quad P_{n x}=F_{c r x} \times A=70.3$ kips
Flexural buckling about y axis (cl. E3)

Elastic critical buckling stress
Flexural buckling stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ey}}=\pi^{2} \times \mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}=35.2 \mathrm{ksi}$
$F_{\text {cry }}=\left(0.658 F_{y} / F_{\text {ey }}\right) \times F_{y}=25.5 \mathrm{ksi}$

Nominal compressive strength for flexural buckling $\quad P_{n y}=F_{\text {cry }} \times A=70.3 \mathrm{kips}$
Design compressive strength (cl.E1)
Resistance factor for compression
$\phi_{\mathrm{c}}=0.90$
Design compressive strength
$P_{c}=\phi_{c} \times \min \left(P_{n x}, P_{n y}\right)=63.3 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - The design compressive strength exceeds the required compressive strength

## Flexural strength about the major axis

Yielding (cl. F8.1)
Nominal flexural strength
$M_{n x \_y l d}=M_{\text {ny_yld }}=F_{y} \times Z=11.6$ kips_ft
Design flexural strength (cl. F1)
Resistance factor for flexure
$\phi_{b}=0.90$
Design flexural strength
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cx}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cy}}=\phi_{\mathrm{b}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nx} \text { _lld }}=10.4$ kips_ft
PASS - The design flexural strength about the $x$ axis exceeds the required flexural strength PASS - The design flexural strength about the $y$ axis exceeds the required flexural strength

## Combined forces

Member utilization (cl. H1.1)
Equation $\mathrm{H} 1-1 \mathrm{~b}$
$U R=\operatorname{abs}\left(P_{r}\right) /\left(2 \times P_{c}\right)+\left(M_{r x} / M_{c x}+M_{r y} / M_{c y}\right)=0.407$
PASS - The member is adequate for the combined forces
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## PROJECT

16-Critical Grid C Column.wwc

## Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)
Loads:

| Load | Type | Distribution | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Location } & {[f t]} \\ \text { Start } & \text { End } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Magnitude <br> Start End | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DL | Dead | Axial | (Ecc. $=0.00^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 2910 | lbs |
| LL | Snow | Axial | $\left(\right.$ Ecc. $=0.00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 8046 | lbs |
| Self-weight | Dead | Axial |  | 86 | lbs |

## Reactions (lbs):



16 - Critical grid C Column<br>Timber-soft, D.Fir-L, No.1, $6 \times 6$ (5-1/2"x5-1/2")<br>Support: Non-wood<br>Total length: $12.0^{\prime}$; Volume $=2.5$ cu.ft.; Post or timber Pinned base; Ke x Lb: $1.0 \times 12.0=12.0 \mathrm{ft}$; Ke x Ld: $1.0 \times 12.0=12.0 \mathrm{ft}$;

This section PASSES the design code check.

## Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

| Criterion | Analysis Value | Design Value | Unit | Analysis/Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial | fc $=365$ | $\mathrm{FC}^{\prime}=578$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{\prime}=0.63$ |
| Axial Bearing | $\mathrm{fc}=365$ | FC* $=1150$ | psi | $\mathrm{fc} / \mathrm{Fc}$ * $=0.32$ |

## Additional Data:

| FACTORS: | F/E(psi) | CD | CM | Ct | CL/CP | CF | Cfu | Cr | Cfrt | Ci | LC\# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fc' | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.503 | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |
| FC* | 1000 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.000 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2 |

CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:
Axial : LC \#2 = D+S
 All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3.2

## Design Notes:

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.
2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.
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## 17 - DECK CANOPY COLUMN

Steel column design in accordance with AISC360-16 and the LRFD method


## Column and loading details

## Column details

Column section
HSS 4x0.250

## Design loading

Required axial strength
Maximum moment about $x$ axis
Maximum moment about y axis
Maximum shear force parallel to $y$ axis
Maximum shear force parallel to x axis
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=4$ kips (Compression)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.0 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}=0.0 \mathrm{kips} \mathrm{ft}$
$V_{\text {ry }}=0.0 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {rx }}=0.0 \mathrm{kips}$

## Material details

Steel grade
Yield strength
Ultimate strength
Modulus of elasticity
Shear modulus of elasticity
A500 Gr. B
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=42 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}=58 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{E}=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$
G = 11200 ksi
Unbraced lengths
For buckling about x axis
For buckling about $y$ axis
For torsional buckling
$L_{x}=120$ in
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=120$ in
$L_{z}=120$ in

## Effective length factors

For buckling about x axis
$K_{x}=1.00$
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For buckling about y axis
$K_{y}=1.00$
For torsional buckling
$K_{z}=1.00$

## Effective unbraced lengths

For buckling about x axis
$L_{c x}=L_{x} \times K_{x}=120$ in
For buckling about y axis
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cy}}=\mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{y}}=120$ in
For torsional buckling
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cz}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=120$ in

## Section classification

## Section classification for local buckling (cl. B4)

Width to thickness ratio

$$
\lambda=D_{o} / t=17.167
$$

## Compression

Limit for nonslender section
$\lambda_{r_{-} c}=0.11 \times E / F_{y}=75.952$
The section is nonslender in compression

## Slenderness

Member slenderness
Slenderness ratio about $x$ axis

$$
\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cx}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{x}}=90.2
$$

Slenderness ratio about y axis
$\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cy}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{9 0 . 2}$

## Compressive strength

Flexural buckling about x axis (cl. E3)
Elastic critical buckling stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=\pi^{2} \times \mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}=35.2 \mathrm{ksi}$
Flexural buckling stress
$F_{\text {crx }}=\left(0.658 F_{y} / F_{e x}\right) \times F_{y}=25.5 \mathrm{ksi}$
Nominal compressive strength for flexural buckling $P_{n x}=F_{c r x} \times A=70.3$ kips
Flexural buckling about y axis (cl. E3)

Elastic critical buckling stress
Flexural buckling stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {ey }}=\pi^{2} \times \mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}=35.2 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {cry }}=\left(0.658_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ey}}\right) \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{2 5 . 5} \mathrm{ksi}$

Nominal compressive strength for flexural buckling $P_{\text {ny }}=F_{\text {cry }} \times A=70.3$ kips
Design compressive strength (cl.E1)
Resistance factor for compression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{c}=0.90 \\
& P_{c}=\phi_{c} \times \min \left(P_{n x}, P_{n y}\right)=63.3 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

PASS - The design compressive strength exceeds the required compressive strength
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## 18 - TYPICAL HSS FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACI318-19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 3.7 |  |  | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 0.93 | 1.5 | 0.620 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 0.3 | 32.3 | 0.010 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 0.3 | 32.3 | 0.010 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 2.678 | 189.737 | 0.014 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in $^{2}$ | 0.518 | 0.930 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 8.6 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.518 | 0.930 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 8.6 |  | Pass |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbf{2} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{2 \mathrm { ft }}$
$A=L_{x} \times L_{y}=4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$\mathrm{h}=12$ in
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }}=12$ in
$\gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
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## Column no. 1 details

Length of column $\quad I_{x 1}=10.00$ in
Width of column
position in x -axis
position in $y$-axis

## Soil properties

Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=12.00$ in
$y_{1}=12.00$ in
$q_{\text {allow_Gross }}=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{bb}}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {bb }}\right)=0.577$

## Footing loads

Self weight
Soil weight

## Column no. 1 loads

Dead load in z
$F_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}=1.0 \mathrm{kips}$
Live load in $z$

## Footing analysis for soil and stability

Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.0D (0.345)
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$1.0 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}(0.620)$
Combination 2 results: $1.0 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}$
Forces on footing
Force in z -axis
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{A} \times\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{swt}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{soil}}\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}+\gamma\left\llcorner\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz} 1}=3.7 \mathrm{kips}\right.$
Moments on footing
Moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Moment in y -axis, about y is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=3.72 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
$e_{d x}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=\mathbf{0}$ in
Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{\mathrm{dx}} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{\mathrm{dy}} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{3}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{4}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}=\min \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {max }}=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$q_{\text {allow }}=q_{\text {allow_Gross }}=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {max }} / q_{\text {allow }}=0.620$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure

## 18 - TYPICAL HSS FOOTING

## Footing design in accordance with ACl318-19

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}=4000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{6 0 0 0 0} \mathrm{psi}$
$\varepsilon_{t y}=0.00200$
Cover to top of footing
Cover to side of footing
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{t}}=3$ in
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{s}}=3$ in
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Cover to bottom of footing
Concrete type
Concrete modification factor
Column type
Analysis and design of concrete footing
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.004)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$ (0.014)
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$

## Forces on footing

Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Ultimate moment in y -axis, about y is 0

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_ }}=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete
$F_{\mathrm{uz}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{A} \times\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{swt}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{soil}}\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}+\gamma_{L} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz} 1}=5.1 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{u x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times x_{1}\right)+\gamma\left\llcorner\times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=5.1 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma L \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.y_{1}\right)=5.1 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ux}}=\mathrm{Mux}_{\mathrm{ux}} / F_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2=0$ in
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{uy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{uy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=0$ in
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u} 1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{uz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ux}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{uy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 2}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m i n}=\min \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$

Shear diagram, x axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)

0


Moment design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction, positive moment
Ultimate bending moment
$M_{u . \text {. } \text { max }}=0.326 \mathrm{kip}$ ft
Tension reinforcement provided
3 No. 5 bottom bars ( 8.6 in $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ )
Area of tension reinforcement provided
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {sx.bot.prov }}=0.93$ in $^{2}$
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} . \text { min }}=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{h}=0.518 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times \mathrm{h}, 18 \mathrm{in})=\mathbf{1 8}$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{bot}} / 2=8.688 \mathrm{in}$
$a=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.684$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.804 \mathrm{in}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=0.02940$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$M_{n}=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} \times(d-a / 2)=38.807$ kip_ft
$\phi_{\mathrm{f}}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=34.926 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.009$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, x direction
One-way shear design does not apply. Shear failure plane fall outside extents of foundation.
Shear diagram, y axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)



Moment design, y direction, positive moment
Ultimate bending moment
$M_{u . y_{\text {max }}}=0.326 \mathrm{kip}$ _ft
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
3 No. 5 bottom bars ( 8.6 in $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ )

Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }}=0.93$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} . \min }=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{h}=0.518 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times \mathrm{h}, 18 \mathrm{in})=\mathbf{1 8}$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} \text {.bot }}-$ фy.bot $/ 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.684$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$c=a / \beta_{1}=0.804$ in
$\varepsilon_{t}=0.003 \times d / c-0.003=0.02707$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$M_{n}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} \times(d-a / 2)=35.901$ kip_ft
$\phi_{\mathrm{f}}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=32.311 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . \text { max }^{2}} / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.010$

## PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load

One-way shear design, y direction
One-way shear design does not apply. Shear failure plane fall outside extents of foundation.
Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=8.375 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{xp}}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{yp}}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$b_{0}=2 \times\left(l_{1} 1+d_{v 2}\right)+2 \times\left(l_{y 1}+d_{v 2}\right)=73.500$ in
$A_{p}=I_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{y, \text { perim }}=337.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times l_{y 1}=237.641$ in $^{2}$
Ultimate bearing pressure at center of shear area

$$
\text { qup.avg }=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}
$$

ortland, Oregon
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Ultimate shear load

Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{\text {up.avg }} \times$ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.484 \mathrm{kips}$
$v_{u g}=\max \left(F_{\text {up }} /\left(b_{o} \times d_{v 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=2.411 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=1.00$
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=\mathbf{3 7 9 . 4 7 3} \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpc}}=4 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=\mathbf{2 5 2 . 9 8 2} \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi v_{n}=\phi_{v} \times v_{n}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$V_{\text {ug }} / \phi V_{\mathrm{n}}=0.013$

PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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## 19 - GRID H FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACI318-19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 3.7 |  |  | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 0.93 | 1.5 | 0.620 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 0.3 | 34.9 | 0.009 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 0.3 | 32.3 | 0.010 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 2.411 | 189.737 | 0.013 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in $^{2}$ | 0.518 | 0.930 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 8.6 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.518 | 0.930 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 8.6 |  | Pass |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbf{2} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{2 \mathrm { ft }}$
$A=L_{x} \times L_{y}=4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$h=12$ in
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }}=12$ in
$\gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
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## Column no. 1 details

Length of column
Width of column
position in $x$-axis
position in y -axis

## Soil properties

Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction

## Footing loads

Self weight
Soil weight

## Column no. 1 loads

Dead load in z
Live load in z
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=12.00$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{1}=12.00$ in
qalow_Gross $=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{\text {bb }}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {bb }}\right)=0.577$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}=\mathrm{h} \times \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150 \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }} \times \gamma_{\text {soil }}=\mathbf{1 2 0} \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}=1.0 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz} 1}=1.7 \mathrm{kips}$

Footing analysis for soil and stability
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.0D (0.345)
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1.0D + 1.0L (0.620)

Combination 2 results: 1.0D + 1.0L

## Forces on footing

Force in z-axis
$F_{d z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=3.7 \mathrm{kips}$
Moments on footing
Moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Moment in $y$-axis, about y is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=3.72 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in x-axis
$e_{d x}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
Eccentricity of base reaction in y-axis
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=0$ in
Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
$q_{1}=F_{d z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{3}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{4}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {min }}=\min \left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\max }=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=0.93 \mathrm{ksf}$
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$q_{\text {allow }}=$ qallow_Gross $=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {max }} /$ qallow $=0.620$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure

## 19 - GRID H FOOTING

## Footing design in accordance with $\mathrm{ACl} 318-19$

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)
Cover to top of footing
Cover to side of footing
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{c}=4000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=60000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {ty }}=0.00200$
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}=3$ in
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_s }}=3$ in
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$\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }}=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete

Analysis and design of concrete footing
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.004)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}(0.013)$
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$

## Forces on footing

Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in $x$-axis, about $x$ is 0

Ultimate moment in $y$-axis, about $y$ is 0

Eccentricity of base reaction
Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$F_{u z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=5.1 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{u x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times X_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=5.1 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=5.1 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ux}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ux}} / F_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2=0 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{uy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{uy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=0$ in
$q_{u 1}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 2}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m i n}=\min \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{\mathrm{u} 1}, q_{\mathrm{u} 2}, q_{\mathrm{u}}, q_{\mathrm{u} 4}\right)=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}$

## Shear diagram, $x$ axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)



## Moment design, $x$ direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{\text {u. . } \text { max }}=\mathbf{0 . 3 2 6} \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
3 No. 5 bottom bars ( 8.6 in c/c)
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {sx.bot.prov }}=0.93 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{s . \min }=0.0018 \times L_{y} \times h=0.518 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times \mathrm{h}, 18 \mathrm{in})=18 \mathrm{in}$
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=h-C_{\text {nom_b }}-\phi_{x . b o t} / 2=8.688 \text { in } \\
& a=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.684 \text { in } \\
& \beta_{1}=0.85 \\
& c=a / \beta_{1}=0.804 \text { in } \\
& \varepsilon_{t}=0.003 \times d / c-0.003=0.02940 \\
& \varepsilon_{\min }=\varepsilon_{\text {ty }}+0.003=0.00500
\end{aligned}
$$

PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=38.807 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=34.926 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.009$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, $x$ direction
One-way shear design does not apply. Shear failure plane fall outside extents of foundation.
Shear diagram, y axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)



## Moment design, y direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{u . y \text { max }}=0.326 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
3 No. 5 bottom bars ( 8.6 in c/c)
$A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }}=0.93$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} . \min }=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{h}=0.518 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times \mathrm{h}, 18 \mathrm{in})=\mathbf{1 8}$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-$ Cnom_b $-\phi_{\mathrm{x} \text {.bot }}-$ фy.bot $/ 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.684$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.804 \mathrm{in}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=0.02707$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=35.901 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{t y}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=32.311 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . y . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.010$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, y direction
One-way shear design does not apply. Shear failure plane fall outside extents of foundation.
Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=8.375$ in
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{xp}}=18.375$ in
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{yp}}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$b_{0}=2 \times\left(l_{1} 1+d_{v 2}\right)+2 \times\left(l_{y 1}+d_{v 2}\right)=73.500$ in
$A_{p}=l_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{\text {l.perim }}=337.641$ in $^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times l_{y 1}=237.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Ultimate bearing pressure at center of shear area

$$
q_{\text {up. avg }}=1.281 \mathrm{ksf}
$$
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Ultimate shear load

Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{u p . a v g} \times$ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.484 \mathrm{kips}$
$v_{u g}=\max \left(F_{u p} /\left(b_{o} \times d_{v 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=2.411 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=l_{y 1} / l_{x 1}=1.00$
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\mathrm{v}_{\text {cpa }}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=379.473 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times{ }^{\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}, ~}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpc}}=4 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi V_{n}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$V_{\text {ug }} / \phi V_{\mathrm{n}}=0.013$

PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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## 20 - GRID C FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACI318-19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 10.8 |  |  | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 1.194 | 1.5 | 0.796 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 2.5 | 46.8 | 0.052 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 2.5 | 43.3 | 0.057 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, x-direction | kips | 1.7 | 18.8 | 0.092 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, y-direction | kips | 1.7 | 17.9 | 0.096 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 14.907 | 189.737 | 0.079 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.778 | 1.240 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 9.7 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.778 | 1.240 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 9.7 | Pass |  |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbf{3} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=3 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=9 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$h=12$ in
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }}=12$ in
$\gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
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## Column no. 1 details

Length of column
Width of column
position in x-axis
position in y-axis
Soil properties
Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction

## Footing loads

Self weight
Soil weight

## Column no. 1 loads

Dead load in z
Live load in z
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=18.00$ in
$y_{1}=18.00$ in
qallow_Gross $=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{\text {bb }}=\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ deg
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {bь }}\right)=0.577$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}=\mathrm{h} \times \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150 \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }} \times \gamma_{\text {soil }}=120 \mathrm{psf}$
$F_{D z 1}=1.9$ kips
$F_{\mathrm{Lz} 1}=6.4 \mathrm{kips}$

## Footing analysis for soil and stability

Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.0D (0.322)
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1.0D + 1.0L (0.796)

Combination 2 results: 1.0D + 1.0L
Forces on footing
Force in z-axis
$F_{d z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=10.8 \mathrm{kips}$
Moments on footing
Moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Moment in y -axis, about y is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$F_{d z}=10.75 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in x-axis
$e_{d x}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
Eccentricity of base reaction in y-axis
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=0$ in
Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.194 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / L_{x}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / L_{y}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.194 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{3}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.194 k s f$
$q_{4}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.194 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}=\min \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=1.194 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\max }=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=1.194 \mathrm{ksf}$
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$q_{\text {allow }}=$ qallow_Gross $=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {max }} / q_{\text {allow }}=0.796$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure

## 20 - GRID C FOOTING

## Footing design in accordance with $\mathrm{ACl} 318-19$

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=4000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{6 0 0 0 0} \mathrm{psi}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {ty }}=0.00200$
Cover to top of footing
Cover to side of footing
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_ }}=3$ in
$C_{\text {nom_s }}=3$ in
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Cover to bottom of footing
Concrete type
Concrete modification factor
Column type
Analysis and design of concrete footing
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.021)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$ (0.096)
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$

## Forces on footing

Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Ultimate moment in y -axis, about y is 0

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_ }}=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete
$F_{\mathrm{uz}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}+\gamma_{L} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz} 1}=15.5 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{\mathrm{ux}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times\left(\mathrm{A} \times\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}+\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}\right) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \times\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1} \times \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\gamma \mathrm{L} \times\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz} 1} \times\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=23.2 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma L \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.y_{1}\right)=23.2$ kip_ft
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ux}}=\mathrm{Mux}_{\mathrm{ux}} / F_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2=0$ in
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{uy}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{uy}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{uz}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} / 2=0$ in
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u} 1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{uz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ux}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{uy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 2}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{qumin}_{\mathrm{min}}=\min \left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{qu}_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$

Shear diagram, x axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)



## Moment design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{u . \times \text { max }}=2.454 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
4 No. 5 bottom bars ( 9.7 in c/c)
$A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }}=1.24 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} \text {. } \text { min }}=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{h}=0.778 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

## PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum

Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times \mathrm{h}, 18 \mathrm{in})=\mathbf{1 8}$ in

PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity

One-way shear design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction
Ultimate shear force
Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688$ in
$a=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.608$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.715 \mathrm{in}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=0.03345$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$M_{n}=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} \times(d-a / 2)=51.978$ kip_ft
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{t y}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=46.78 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.052$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
$V_{u . x}=1.72 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom} \_\mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688 \mathrm{in}$
$\lambda_{s}=1$
$\rho_{w}=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} /\left(L_{y} \times d_{y}\right)=0.00396$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}, 5 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{dv}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=\mathbf{2 5 . 0 4 5} \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi V_{n}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n}=18.784 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u} . \mathrm{x}} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.092$

| 2 | Project OSU Azalea House | By MAA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Portland, Oregon | Location Corvallis, OR | Date $2 / 12 / 2024$ |
|  | Revised |  |
|  |  | Date |

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load Shear diagram, y axis (kips)


Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)
2.5

0


## Moment design, y direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)

Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2)
$M_{u . y \text {.max }}=2.454$ kip_ft
4 No. 5 bottom bars ( 9.7 in c/c)
$A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }}=1.24$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} \text {. } \mathrm{min}}=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{h}=0.778$ in $^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
$S_{\text {max }}=\min (2 \times h, 18$ in $)=18$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b}-$ фx.bot - фy.bot $/ 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.608$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=\mathbf{0 . 7 1 5}$ in
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0 8 2}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$M_{n}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} \times(d-a / 2)=48.103$ kip_ft
$\phi_{\mathrm{f}}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=43.293 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . \text {. } \text { max }} / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.057$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, y direction
Ultimate shear force
$V_{\text {u.y }}=1.72 \mathrm{kips}$
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Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nom} \_\mathrm{b}}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062 \mathrm{in} \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1 \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{w}}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=0.00427 \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{v}}=0.75 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right)^{1 / 3} \times V\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}, 5 \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \times\right.\right. \\
& \left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=23.829 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \phi \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=17.872 \mathrm{kips} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\text {u.y }} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.096
\end{aligned}
$$

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load

## Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=8.375 \mathrm{in}$
$I_{x p}=18.375$ in
$l_{y p}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$b_{o}=2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)+2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)=73.500$ in
$A_{p}=I_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{y, \text { perim }}=337.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times I_{y 1}=237.641$ in $^{2}$

Ultimate bearing pressure at center of shear area $\quad q_{u p . a v g}=1.718 \mathrm{ksf}$
Ultimate shear load
$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{\text {up.avg }} \times$
$A_{p}=9.176 \mathrm{kips}$
Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$v_{\mathrm{ug}}=\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{up}} /\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=14.907 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=l_{y 1} / l_{x 1}=1.00$
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$v_{\text {cpa }}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=379.473 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V^{\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}, ~}$

$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi v_{n}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$V_{u g} / \phi V_{n}=0.079$

PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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## 21 - ENTRY CANOPY FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACl 318 -19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 3.2 |  |  | Pass |
| Overturning stability, x | kip_ft | 2.49 | -4.76 | 1.91 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 0.987 | 1.5 | 0.658 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 0.6 | 46.8 | 0.013 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 0.3 | 43.3 | 0.008 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, x-direction | kips | 0.4 | 18.8 | 0.024 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, y-direction | kips | 0.2 | 17.9 | 0.013 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 1.928 | 189.737 | 0.010 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.778 | 1.240 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 9.7 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 0.778 | 1.240 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 9.7 | Pass |  |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{x}=\mathbf{3 \mathrm { ft }} \\
& \mathrm{L}_{y}=\mathbf{~ f t} \\
& \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{L}_{x} \times \mathrm{L}_{y}=9 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~h}=12 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{\text {soil }}=12 \mathrm{in} \\
& \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$
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0.987 ksf

## Column no. 1 details

Length of column
Width of column
position in x-axis
position in $y$-axis

## Soil properties

Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction
Self weight
Soil weight
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=10.00 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=18.00$ in
$y_{1}=18.00$ in
$q_{\text {allow_Gross }}=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=\mathbf{3 0 . 0} \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathbf{3 0 . 0} \mathrm{deg}$
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {bь }}\right)=0.577$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}=\mathrm{h} \times \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150 \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }} \times \gamma_{\text {soil }}=120 \mathrm{psf}$

## Column no. 1 loads

Dead load in z
$F_{D z 1}=0.5 \mathrm{kips}$
Live load in z
Dead load moment in $x$
Live load moment in $x$
Seismic load moment in $x$
$F_{L z 1}=0.8 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{\mathrm{Dx} 1}=0.2 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{\text {Lx1 }}=0.4$ kip_ft
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ex} 1}=3.2$ kip_ft

## Footing analysis for soil and stability
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## Load combinations per ASCE 7-16

1.0D (0.247)
$1.0 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}(0.359)$
$\left(1.0+0.14 \times S_{D S}\right) D+0.7 E(0.658)$
Combination 10 results: $\left(1.0+0.14 \times S_{\text {ds }}\right) D+0.7 E$
Forces on footing
Force in z-axis
$F_{d z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}=3.2 \mathrm{kips}$

## Moments on footing

Moment in $x$-axis, about $x$ is 0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{d x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{s o i l}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times x_{1}+M_{D \times 1}\right)+\gamma_{E} \times \\
& \left(M_{E x 1}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{kip} f t \\
& M_{d y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)=4.8 \mathrm{kip} f t
\end{aligned}
$$

Moment in y -axis, about y is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=3.174 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift
Stability against overturning in $\mathbf{x}$ direction, moment about $x$ is $L_{x}$

Overturning moment
Resisting moment

Factor of safety
$M_{O T x L}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(M_{D x 1}\right)+\gamma_{E} \times\left(M_{E x 1}\right)=2.49 \mathrm{kip} f t$
$M_{R x L}=-1 \times\left(\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times\left(x_{1}-L_{x}\right)\right)=$ -4.76 kip_ft
$\operatorname{abs}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{RxL}} /\right.$ MotxL $)=1.910$
PASS - Overturning moment safety factor exceeds the minimum of 1.50

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Length of bearing in $x$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=9.426 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=M_{d y} / F_{d z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in
$L_{x d}^{\prime}=\min \left(L_{x}, 3 \times\left(L_{x} / 2-\operatorname{abs}\left(e_{d x}\right)\right)\right)=\mathbf{2 5 . 7 2 1}$ in
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathbf{0} \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=0 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{3}=2 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} /\left(3 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}}\right)\right)=0.987 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{4}=2 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} /\left(3 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / 2-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}}\right)\right)=0.987 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {min }}=\min \left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=0 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\max }=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=0.987 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {allow }}=$ qallow_Gross $=1.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\max } / q_{\text {allow }}=0.658$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure
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## 21 - ENTRY CANOPY FOOTING

Footing design in accordance with ACl318-19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}=4000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=60000 \mathrm{psi}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {ty }}=0.00200$
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_t }}=3 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_s }}=\mathbf{3}$ in
Cnom_b $=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete

## Analysis and design of concrete footing

Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.005)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \operatorname{Lr}(0.013)$
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$
Forces on footing
Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in $x$-axis, about $x$ is 0

Ultimate moment in y-axis, about $y$ is 0

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in x-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in y-axis

## Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$F_{u z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=4.7 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{u x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times X_{1}+M_{D x 1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times$
$\left(F_{\mathrm{Lz} 1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Lx} 1}\right)=7.9 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.y_{1}\right)=7.0 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$e_{u x}=M_{u x} / F_{u z}-L_{x} / 2=2.278$ in
$e_{u y}=M_{u y} / F_{u z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in
$q_{u 1}=0.321 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\mathrm{u} 2}=0.321 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=0.714 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=0.714 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m i n}=\min \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=0.321 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=0.714 \mathrm{ksf}$
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Shear diagram, $x$ axis (kips)


Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)
0.6


## Moment design, $x$ direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)

Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2)
$M_{\text {u.x. } \text { max }}=0.603$ kip_ft
4 No. 5 bottom bars ( $9.7 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{c/c)}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {sx.bot.prov }}=1.24 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{s . \min }=0.0018 \times L_{y} \times h=0.778 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum

PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }} \mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688 \mathrm{in}$
$a=A_{s x . b o t . p r o v} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.608$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.715 \mathrm{in}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3 4 5}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sx} . \text { bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=51.978 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=46.78 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi M_{n}=0.013$

## PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load

One-way shear design, x direction
Ultimate shear force
Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
$V_{\text {u.x }}=0.448 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-$ Cnom_b $-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688$ in
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\rho_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sx} . \text { bot.prov }} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 9 6}$
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Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$V_{n}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{s} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}{ }_{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right) \times L_{y} \times d_{v}, 5 \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{c} \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=\mathbf{2 5 . 0 4 5} \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=18.784 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{u . x} / \phi V_{n}=0.024$
PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load
Shear diagram, y axis (kips)


Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)
0.3

0


## Moment design, y direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{\text {u.y.max }}=0.34$ kip_ft
4 No. 5 bottom bars ( 9.7 inc c )
Asy.bot.prov $=1.24 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{s . \text { min }}=0.0018 \times L_{x} \times h=0.778 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times h, 18 \mathrm{in})=18$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.608$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$c=a / \beta_{1}=0.715 \mathrm{in}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003 \mathbf{= 0 . 0 3 0 8 2}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$M_{n}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} \times(d-a / 2)=48.103 \mathrm{kip} \_f t$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$

Design moment capacity
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=43.293 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{\text {u.y.max }} / \phi M_{\mathrm{n}}=0.008$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, y direction
Ultimate shear force
Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity

## Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{V}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=17.872 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {u.y }} / \phi V_{\mathrm{n}}=0.013$
PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load
$V_{\text {u. }}=0.239 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {nob }}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} \text {.bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062$ in
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\rho_{w}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} /\left(L_{x} \times d_{v}\right)=0.00427$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$V_{n}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{s} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c} \times 1 p s i\right) \times L_{x} \times d_{v}, 5 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}{ }_{c} \times\right.\right.$
$1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) $=23.829 \mathrm{kips}$
$d_{v 2}=8.375$ in
$I_{x p}=18.375$ in
$I_{y p}=18.375$ in
$b_{o}=2 \times\left(I_{x 1}+d_{v 2}\right)+2 \times\left(l_{y 1}+d_{v 2}\right)=\mathbf{7 3 . 5 0 0}$ in
$A_{p}=I_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{y, p e r i m}=337.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times l_{y 1}=237.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
q_{\text {up.avg }}=0.517 \mathrm{ksf}
$$

$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{\text {up.avg }} \times$
$A_{p}=1.187 \mathrm{kips}$
Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
$v_{\text {ug }}=\max \left(F_{\text {up }} /\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=1.928 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=l_{y 1} / l_{x 1}=1.00$
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=379.473 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpc}}=4 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$\phi v_{n}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ug}} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.010$
PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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## 22 - EXISTING GRID 8 FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACl 318 -19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 30.1 |  |  | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 1.883 | 2.5 | 0.753 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 12.2 | 58.6 | 0.209 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 12.2 | 54.2 | 0.226 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, x-direction | kips | 8.9 | 24.5 | 0.363 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, y-direction | kips | 8.9 | 23.3 | 0.381 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 53.965 | 189.737 | 0.284 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.550 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 10.3 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.550 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 10.3 |  | Pass |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete
$L_{x}=4 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}_{y}=4 \mathrm{ft}$
$A=L_{x} \times L_{y}=16 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$h=12$ in
$h_{\text {soil }}=12 \mathrm{in}$
$\gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
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## Column no. 1 details

Length of column
Width of column
position in $x$-axis
position in y -axis

## Soil properties

Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction

## Footing loads

Self weight
Soil weight
Column no. 1 loads
Dead load in z
Live load in z
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=24.00$ in
$\mathrm{y}_{1}=24.00$ in

Gallow_Gross = 2.5 ksf
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathbf{3 0 . 0} \mathrm{deg}$
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {bb }}\right)=0.577$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}=\mathrm{h} \times \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150 \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }} \times \gamma_{\text {soil }}=120 \mathrm{psf}$

## Footing analysis for soil and stability

Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.0D (0.248)
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$1.0 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}(0.753)$
Combination 2 results: 1.0D + 1.0L

## Forces on footing

Force in z-axis
$F_{d z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=30.1 \mathrm{kips}$
Moments on footing
Moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Moment in $y$-axis, about $y$ is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=30.12 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in x-axis
$e_{d x}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=M_{d y} / F_{d z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in

## Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.882 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / L_{x}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / L_{y}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1.882 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{3}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.882 k s f$
$q_{4}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=1.882 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {min }}=\min \left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=1.882 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\max }=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=1.882 \mathrm{ksf}$
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$q_{\text {allow }}=$ qallow_Gross $=2.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\max } /$ qallow $=0.753$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure

## 22 - EXISTING GRID 8 FOOTING

## Footing design in accordance with ACl318-19

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}=4000 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=60000 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \varepsilon_{\text {ty }}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 0 0} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_t }}=3 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_s }}=3 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cover to top of footing
Cover to side of footing
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Cnom_b $=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete

Analysis and design of concrete footing
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.077)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \operatorname{Lr}(0.381)$
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$

## Forces on footing

Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in $x$-axis, about $x$ is 0

Ultimate moment in $y$-axis, about $y$ is 0

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$F_{u z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{s o i l}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=44.2 \mathrm{kips}$
$M_{u x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times X_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=88.4 \mathrm{kip}$ ft
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.y_{1}\right)=88.4$ kip_ft
$e_{u x}=M_{u x} / F_{u z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
$e_{u y}=M_{u y} / F_{u z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in
$q_{u 1}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 2}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m i n}=\min \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$

## Shear diagram, $x$ axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)

12.2

0


Moment design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction, positive moment
Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)

Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2)
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity

One-way shear design, x direction
Ultimate shear force
Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{bot}} / 2=8.688 \mathrm{in}$
$a=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.570$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.670$ in
$\varepsilon_{t}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=0.03588$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 0 0}$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=65.12 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{\mathrm{f}}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=\mathbf{0 . 9 0 0}$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{5 8 . 6 0 8} \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.209$

## PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load

$V_{u . x}=8.896 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-$ Cnom_b $-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688$ in
$\lambda_{s}=1$
$\rho_{w}=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} /\left(L_{y} \times d_{v}\right)=0.00372$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$V_{n}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{s} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}^{\prime} \times 1 p s i\right) \times L_{y} \times d_{v}, 5 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}^{\prime} \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=32.683 \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi V_{n}=\phi_{v} \times V_{n}=24.512 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u} . \mathrm{x}} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.363$
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PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load Shear diagram, y axis (kips)


Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)
12.2

0


Moment design, y direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{u y . \text {.max }}=12.234$ kip_ft
5 No. 5 bottom bars ( 10.3 in c/c)
$A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }}=1.55$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} \text {. } \text { min }}=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{h}=1.037 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times h, 18$ in $)=18$ in

PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {n }} \mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.570$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=\mathbf{0 . 6 7 0}$ in
$\varepsilon_{t}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=0.03308$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=\mathbf{6 0 . 2 7 6} \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{t y}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{f} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=54.249 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . \text { max }^{2}} / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.226$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, y direction
Ultimate shear force
$V_{u . y}=8.896 \mathrm{kips}$
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Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062$ in
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\rho_{\mathrm{w}}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} /\left(L_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=0.00401$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$V_{n}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{s} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c} \times 1 p s i\right) \times L_{x} \times d_{v}, 5 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}{ }_{c} \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=31.096 \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=23.322 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {u.y }} / \phi V_{n}=0.381$
PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load

## Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=8.375 \mathrm{in}$
$I_{x p}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{yp}}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}=2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)+2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)=73.500$ in
$A_{p}=l_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{y, \text { perim }}=337.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times I_{y 1}=237.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

Ultimate bearing pressure at center of shear area $\quad q_{\text {up.avg }}=2.764 \mathrm{ksf}$
Ultimate shear load
$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{\text {up.avg }} \times$
$A_{p}=33.219 \mathrm{kips}$
Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ug}}=\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{up}} /\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=53.965 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=l_{y 1} / I_{x 1}=1.00$
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=379.473 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpc}}=4 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=\mathbf{2 5 2 . 9 8 2} \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$V_{\text {ug }} / \phi V_{n}=0.284$

PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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## 23 - EXISTING GRID E FOOTING

Footing analysis in accordance with ACI318-19
Tedds calculation version 3.3.02
Summary results

| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | FoS | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uplift verification | kips | 39.6 |  |  | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Applied | Resisting | Utilization | Result |
| Soil bearing | ksf | 2.473 | 2.5 | 0.989 | Pass |
| Description | Unit | Provided | Required | Utilization | Result |
| Moment, positive, x-direction | kip_ft | 16.7 | 58.6 | 0.285 | Pass |
| Moment, positive, y-direction | kip_ft | 16.7 | 54.2 | 0.308 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, x-direction | kips | 12.1 | 23.3 | 0.521 | Pass |
| Shear, one-way, y-direction | kips | 12.1 | 23.3 | 0.521 | Pass |
| Shear, two-way, Col 1 | psi | 73.719 | 189.737 | 0.389 | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., x-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.550 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, x-direction | in | 18.0 | 10.3 |  | Pass |
| Min.area of reinf, bot., y-direction | in ${ }^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.550 |  | Pass |
| Max.reinf.spacing, bot, y-direction | in | 18.0 | 10.3 |  | Pass |

## Pad footing details

Length of footing
Width of footing
Footing area
Depth of footing
Depth of soil over footing
Density of concrete
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbf{4} \mathrm{ft}$
$L_{y}=4 \mathrm{ft}$
A $=L_{x} \times L_{y}=16 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$h=12$ in
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }}=12$ in
$\gamma_{\text {conc }}=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
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## Column no. 1 details

Length of column
Width of column
position in $x$-axis
position in y -axis

## Soil properties

Gross allowable bearing pressure
Density of soil
Angle of internal friction
Design base friction angle
Coefficient of base friction

## Footing loads

Self weight
Soil weight
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=10.00$ in
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=24.00$ in
$y_{1}=24.00$ in
qallow_Gross $=2.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}=120.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\phi_{b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{b b}=30.0 \mathrm{deg}$
$\tan \left(\delta_{\text {бь }}\right)=0.577$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {swt }}=\mathrm{h} \times \gamma_{\text {conc }}=150 \mathrm{psf}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}=\mathrm{h}_{\text {soil }} \times \gamma_{\text {soil }}=\mathbf{1 2 0} \mathrm{psf}$

## Column no. 1 loads

Dead load in z
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Dz} 1}=7.7 \mathrm{kips}$
Live load in $z$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Lz1}}=\mathbf{2 7 . 5} \mathrm{kips}$

## Footing analysis for soil and stability

Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.0D (0.301)
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$1.0 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}$ (0.989)
Combination 2 results: 1.0D + 1.0L
Forces on footing
Force in z-axis
$F_{d z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=39.6 \mathrm{kips}$
Moments on footing
Moment in x -axis, about x is 0

Moment in $y$-axis, about $y$ is 0

## Uplift verification

Vertical force
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}}=39.56 \mathrm{kips}$
PASS - Footing is not subject to uplift

## Bearing resistance

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in x-axis
$e_{d x}=M_{d x} / F_{d z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}}=M_{d y} / F_{d z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in
Pad base pressures

Minimum base pressure
Maximum base pressure
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=2.472 \mathrm{ksf}$
$\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dz}} \times\left(1-6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dx}} / L_{x}+6 \times \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{dy}} / L_{y}\right) /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=2.472 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{3}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.472 k s f$
$q_{4}=F_{d z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{d x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{d y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=2.472 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {min }}=\min \left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=2.472 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\max }=\max \left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{3}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right)=2.472 \mathrm{ksf}$
Allowable bearing capacity
Allowable bearing capacity
$q_{\text {allow }}=$ qallow_Gross $=2.5 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{\text {max }} / q_{\text {allow }}=0.989$
PASS - Allowable bearing capacity exceeds design base pressure

## 23 - EXISTING GRID E FOOTING

## Footing design in accordance with ACl318-19

## Material details

Compressive strength of concrete
Yield strength of reinforcement
Compression-controlled strain limit (21.2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}=4000 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=60000 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \varepsilon_{\text {ty }}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 0 0} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_t }}=3 \mathrm{in} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_s }}=3 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cover to top of footing
Cover to side of footing
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Cover to bottom of footing
Concrete type
Concrete modification factor
Column type
Analysis and design of concrete footing
Load combinations per ASCE 7-16
1.4D (0.101)
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \operatorname{Lr}(0.521)$
Combination 2 results: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{Lr}$

## Forces on footing

Ultimate force in z-axis

## Moments on footing

Ultimate moment in $x$-axis, about $x$ is 0

Ultimate moment in $y$-axis, about $y$ is 0

## Eccentricity of base reaction

Eccentricity of base reaction in $x$-axis
Eccentricity of base reaction in $y$-axis
Pad base pressures

Minimum ultimate base pressure
Maximum ultimate base pressure
$\mathrm{Cnom}_{\text {_ }}=3$ in
Normal weight
$\lambda=1.00$
Concrete
$F_{u z}=\gamma_{D} \times A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soii }}\right)+\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}=58.5$ kips
$M_{u x}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{s w t}+F_{\text {soil }}\right) \times L_{x} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times X_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=116.9 \mathrm{kip}$ _ft
$M_{u y}=\gamma_{D} \times\left(A \times\left(F_{\text {swt }}+F_{\text {soii }}\right) \times L_{y} / 2\right)+\gamma_{D} \times\left(F_{D z 1} \times y_{1}\right)+\gamma_{L} \times\left(F_{L z 1} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=116.9 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$e_{u x}=M_{u x} / F_{u z}-L_{x} / 2=0$ in
$e_{u y}=M_{u y} / F_{u z}-L_{y} / 2=0$ in
$q_{u 1}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 2}=F_{u z} \times\left(1-6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 3}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}-6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u 4}=F_{u z} \times\left(1+6 \times e_{u x} / L_{x}+6 \times e_{u y} / L_{y}\right) /\left(L_{x} \times L_{y}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m i n}=\min \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
$q_{u m a x}=\max \left(q_{u 1}, q_{u 2}, q_{u 3}, q_{u 4}\right)=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$

Shear diagram, $x$ axis (kips)
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## Moment diagram, $x$ axis (kip_ft)



## Moment design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{u x . \text {.max }}=16.699 \mathrm{kip}$ ft
5 No. 5 bottom bars ( 10.3 in $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ )
$A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }}=1.55$ in $^{2}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s} \text {. } \text { in }}=0.0018 \times \mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{h}=1.037 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times h, 18 \mathrm{in})=\mathbf{1 8}$ in
PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity

One-way shear design, $\mathbf{x}$ direction
Ultimate shear force
Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }} \mathrm{b}-$ фx.bot $/ 2=8.688$ in
$a=A_{\text {sx.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{y}\right)=0.570$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} / \beta_{1}=0.670$ in
$\varepsilon_{t}=0.003 \times d / c-0.003=0.03588$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ty}}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sx} . \text { bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=65.12 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{t y}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{5 8 . 6 0 8} \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . x . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.285$

## PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load

$V_{u . x}=12.142$ kips
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_b }}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.688$ in
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\rho_{w}=A_{\text {sx.botprov }} /\left(L_{y} \times d_{v}\right)=0.00372$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$V_{n}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{s} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}^{\prime} \times 1 p s i\right) \times L_{y} \times d_{v}, 5 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{ }\left(f_{c}^{\prime} \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{y} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=32.683 \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=24.512 \mathrm{kips}$
$V_{\text {u.x }} / \phi V_{n}=0.495$
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PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load Shear diagram, y axis (kips)


Moment diagram, y axis (kip_ft)
16.7


Moment design, y direction, positive moment

Ultimate bending moment
Tension reinforcement provided
Area of tension reinforcement provided
Minimum area of reinforcement (8.6.1.1)
$M_{\text {u.y. } \text { max }}=16.699 \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
5 No. 5 bottom bars (10.3 in c/c)
Asy.bot.prov $=1.55 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{s . \text { min }}=0.0018 \times L_{x} \times h=1.037 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum
Maximum spacing of reinforcement (8.7.2.2) $\quad S_{\max }=\min (2 \times h, 18 \mathrm{in})=18$ in

PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Depth to tension reinforcement
Depth of compression block
Neutral axis factor
Depth to neutral axis
Strain in tensile reinforcement
Minimum tensile strain(8.3.3.1)

Nominal moment capacity
Flexural strength reduction factor
Design moment capacity
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {nom_ }} \mathrm{b}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062$ in
$a=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times f_{y} /\left(0.85 \times f_{c}^{\prime} \times L_{x}\right)=0.570$ in
$\beta_{1}=0.85$
$c=a / \beta_{1}=0.670$ in
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}=0.003 \times \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{c}-0.003=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3 0 8}$
$\varepsilon_{\text {min }}=\varepsilon_{\text {ty }}+0.003=0.00500$
PASS - Tensile strain exceeds minimum required
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \times(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a} / 2)=\mathbf{6 0 . 2 7 6} \mathrm{kip} \_\mathrm{ft}$
$\phi_{f}=\min \left(\max \left(0.65+0.25 \times\left(\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{t y}\right) /(0.003), 0.65\right), 0.9\right)=0.900$
$\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{f}} \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=54.249 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$
$M_{u . y . \max } / \phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.308$
PASS - Design moment capacity exceeds ultimate moment load
One-way shear design, y direction
Ultimate shear force
$V_{u . y}=12.142$ kips
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Depth to reinforcement
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Ratio of longitudinal reinforcement
Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear capacity (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Design shear capacity
$d_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nom} \_\mathrm{b}}-\phi_{\mathrm{x} . \text { bot }}-\phi_{\mathrm{y} . \text { bot }} / 2=8.062 \mathrm{in}$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$\rho_{\mathrm{w}}=A_{\text {sy.bot.prov }} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=0.00401$
$\phi_{\mathrm{v}}=0.75$
$\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(8 \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times\left(\rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right)^{1 / 3} \times V\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}, 5 \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \times\right.\right.$
$\left.1 \mathrm{psi}) \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)=31.096 \mathrm{kips}$
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\phi_{\mathrm{v}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=23.322 \mathrm{kips}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {u.y }} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.521$

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load

## Two-way shear design at column 1

Depth to reinforcement
Shear perimeter length (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter width (22.6.4)
Shear perimeter (22.6.4)
Shear area
Surcharge loaded area
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=8.375 \mathrm{in}$
$I_{x p}=18.375$ in
$l_{y p}=18.375 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}=2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)+2 \times\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} 1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right)=73.500$ in
$A_{p}=I_{x, \text { perim }} \times l_{y, \text { perim }}=337.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\text {sur }}=A_{p}-I_{x 1} \times I_{y 1}=237.641 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

Ultimate bearing pressure at center of shear area $\quad q_{u p . a v g}=3.654 \mathrm{ksf}$
Ultimate shear load
$F_{\text {up }}=\gamma_{D} \times F_{D z 1}+\gamma_{L} \times F_{L z 1}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{p} \times F_{\text {swt }}+\gamma_{D} \times A_{\text {sur }} \times F_{\text {soil }}-q_{\text {up.avg }} \times$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}=45.379 \mathrm{kips}$
Ultimate shear stress from vertical load
Column geometry factor (Table 22.6.5.2)
Column location factor (22.6.5.3)
Size effect factor (22.5.5.1.3)
Concrete shear strength (22.6.5.2)

Shear strength reduction factor
Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 22.6.1.2)
Design shear stress capacity (8.5.1.1(d))
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ug}}=\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{up}} /\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2}\right), 0 \mathrm{psi}\right)=73.719 \mathrm{psi}$
$\beta=l_{y 1} / l_{x 1}=1.00$
$\alpha_{s}=40$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
$v_{\text {cpa }}=(2+4 / \beta) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=379.473 \mathrm{psi}$
$v_{\mathrm{cpb}}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v} 2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}+2\right) \times \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \times \lambda \times V^{\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times 1 \mathrm{psi}\right)=414.753 \mathrm{psi}, ~}$

$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=\min \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpa}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpb}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cpc}}\right)=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi_{v}=0.75$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cp}}=252.982 \mathrm{psi}$
$\phi v_{n}=\phi_{v} \times v_{n}=189.737 \mathrm{psi}$
$V_{u g} / \phi V_{n}=0.389$

PASS - Design shear stress capacity exceeds ultimate shear stress load
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