



Oregon State University

SHIP OPERATIONS DOCK RENEWAL DESIGN-BUILD

PROJECT NUMBER: 2269-22

ITB #2022-006930

ADDENDUM NO. THREE (3)

ISSUE DATE: October 20, 2021

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR:

Matt Hausman, Construction Contracts Officer

Construction Contracts Administration

Email: ConstructionContracts@oregonstate.edu

This Addendum is hereby issued to inform you of the following revisions and or clarifications to the above-referenced solicitation and/or the Contract Documents for the Project, to the extent they have been modified herein. Any conflict or inconsistency between this Addendum and the Solicitation Document or any previous addenda will be resolved in favor of this Addendum. Proposals shall conform to this Addendum. Unless specifically changed by this Addendum, all other requirements, terms and conditions of the Solicitation Document and or Contract Documents, and any previous addenda, remain unchanged and can be modified only in writing by OSU. The following changes are hereby made:

PROPOSAL DUE DATE/TIME

Item 1 The Proposal Due Date/Time has been extended to Thursday November 4, 2021 at 2:00 PM PT. Proposals are to be submitted to bids@oregonstate.edu by the Due Date/Time.

QUESTION AND ANSWER:

Item 2 Q: Does the Owner anticipate developing the design for the 2022/2023 improvements concurrently with the LRDP? If so, where are the costs for the design to be captured? Section 7.8.3.A seems to address the contractors overhead during construction and 7.8.3.B addresses the LRDP. The scope of work is extensive and the current budget will not cover all those items. For the basis of comparing proposals apples to apples, please provide direction on where to account for the design costs within the proposal and what the focus will be on

A: No, if any design occurs concurrently with the LRDP, the scope/fee will be developed/negotiated with OSU's Project Team and added to the Contract via amendment.

Item 3 Q: Has the Owner begun any of the permitting process for facility improvements?

A: No.

Item 4 Q: Is the list of improvements shown in the scope of services listed in order of priority? If not, please provide a prioritized list.

A: Somewhat, work that must be done prior to the arrival of the Taani includes work on the Causeway, Trestle and the replacement of the pier. The next highest priority item is Security and Access Control. Beyond that, there is no order of priority at this time.

Item 5 Q: The RFP allows for use of large format documents as required by evaluation criteria. Interpreting that to be 11x17, how many of such sheets can we use?

A: No large format documents were specifically required in the Evaluation Criteria. Therefore, none should be submitted with your proposal unless you wish to include a preliminary schedule.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. THREE (3)