



# Oregon State University

## Technical Services for Terrestrial Horizontal Directional Drilling Route Geotechnical Survey

**RFP #2020-000300**

### **ADDENDUM NO. 2**

ISSUE DATE: August 16, 2019

**CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR:**

Ben Baggett, PacWave Contract Officer

**Construction Contracts Administration**

Email: [ConstructionContracts@oregonstate.edu](mailto:ConstructionContracts@oregonstate.edu)

This Addendum is hereby issued to inform you of the following revisions and or clarifications to the above-referenced RFP and/or the Contract Documents for the Project, to the extent they have been modified herein. Any conflict or inconsistency between this Addendum and the Solicitation Document or any previous addenda will be resolved in favor of this Addendum. Proposals shall conform to this Addendum. Unless specifically changed by this Addendum, all other requirements, terms and conditions of the Solicitation Document and or Contract Documents, and any previous addenda, remain unchanged and can be modified only in writing by OSU. The following changes are hereby made:

#### QUESTIONS:

Item 1 **Q:** There is a line on page 26 that states:

*All core samples recovered shall be marked to show in-situ orientation. Specifically, each core, or significant portions of broken cores, shall be marked on the north facing side upon recovery.*

My team and I are wondering if this is really desired and meant to be included in the RFP.

We understand there was a previous canceled RFP which had several inclined borings/cores. From talking with one of our national mining experts, determining the core orientation when drilling at an inclination is feasible with various methods. However, in vertical borings there are not many practical ways to obtain core orientation. The only method we are aware of is time consuming, expensive, and would likely require an additional subcontractor in addition to the drilling contractor.

Is it possible this line from the RFP is an artifact from the previous canceled RFP and / or we can disregard this requirement?

**A:** Disregard. This requirement is removed from this RFP by this Addendum #2.

Item 2 **Q:** Does either the UCMF property or driftwood recreation site have a fresh water source we can access for drilling water? Having quick access to a water source would save time and cost.?

**A:** The UCMF property itself does NOT have fresh water but a 1" water line runs through the property. Neighbors immediately adjacent to the drill site have water but use of their water has not been negotiated. This is an option that can be explored.

There is a fire hydrant (Seal Rock Water District ) directly across Highway 101 from the entrance to Wenger Lane.

Driftwood has fresh water but using Driftwood would require permission from Oregon Parks & Recreation Department. This is likely possible. Driftwood is about 1/3 mile from the UCMF.

Item 3 **Q:** Can the drill site be access by a typical truck mounted drill rig? I.e. 2wd drive up ready, no need for access road improvement etc.?

**A:** Wenger Lane can accommodate truck access. The drill site is adjacent to the lane itself and is covered by grass and some light brush so truck access should also not be an issue.

Item 4 **Q:** Is there a source of water we can access that OSU already has at no cost.

**A:** See #2 above.

Item 5 **Q:** Is there a water source on site (this eliminates significant cost).

**A:** See #2 above.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2