



**Oregon State
University**

**Construction Contracts
Administration, Procurement
Contracts & Materials
Management (PCMM)**
Oregon State University
644 SW 13th Ave.
Corvallis, Oregon 97333

**P 541-737-3401
F 541-737-5546
oregonstate.edu**

7/18/2018

Oregon State University
Construction Contract Administration
WASHINGTON WAY RECONSTRUCTION

ADDENDUM NO. 2

THIS ADDENDUM IS BEING ISSUED for clarification and/or revisions to the solicitation documents.

Item No. 1 Questions raised and OSU responses:

Q: Should survey and geotechnical services be provided by the project team?

A: OSU will contract survey and geotechnical services, but it is anticipated that the design team will provide recommendations on potential survey and/or geotechnical needs and coordinate with OSU to facilitate a smooth integration of services.

Q: The RFQ indicates the project components include, "Geotechnical analysis and/or research as needed to provide a sound and stable roadway." For our past projects, the University has contracted the geotechnical engineer for projects separately. We'd like to confirm the consultant team should include geotechnical engineering, and are there any prior, related studies available from OSU?

A: The University will provide any/all existing project information to the awardee following consultant team selection and/or contract award. See above for response to the provision of geotechnical engineering services.

Q: Is the university planning to include intensive public outreach, such as neighborhood meetings, student presentations, surveys, etc? The RFQ indicates a key goal of "a positive and well-coordinated process with partners and stakeholders" but does not specify whether that is University-led/consultant-assisted, or if expectation is of a consultant-led public participation program.

A: Public outreach is not anticipated to be "extensive" and will be supported by the consultant as needed to support design and thoughtful construction phasing. There are a number of OSU stakeholders that will be significantly impacted by the redevelopment project, and it is anticipated that selected consultant team will engage

in a thoughtful stakeholder outreach program from design through construction. Outreach and coordination with the associated jurisdictions and utilities is also important and will require consultant-led efforts.

Q: The RFQ indicates the consultant team should include cost estimating, but also indicates the construction delivery method is expected to be CM/GC. At what point will the selected general contractor intended to be involved in the project?

A: It is anticipated that the University will begin soliciting for a CM/GC once the consultant selection process is completed which will limit the extent of cost estimating on the consultant's part. Verification of CM/GC's costs may be necessary

Q: Under 2.0, use of existing traffic data is noted (key component 4a). Is there an expectation additional data may be required beyond that contained in the ongoing Transportation Plan?

A: No additional data needs are anticipated; however, additional analysis and recommendations may be needed for a complete design process.

Q: For response to Section 9.0 References, should references for key subconsultants be included as some part of those listed, or should these apply to only the prime consultant?

A: Subconsultant references are not required or restricted. Additional references may be requested as needed/if needed following interviews to assist with final selection.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2