

# ATTENTION ENGINEERING FIRMS

If you are downloading the RFQ from the website, continue to monitor the website for addenda. Failure to incorporate any addenda into your submittal may cause your submittal to be considered non-responsive.

Thank you.



### **OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY-CASCADES**

### **REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

For

Demolition Landfill Reclamation: Engineering Due Diligence

ISSUE DATE: April 19, 2016 RFQ CLOSING (DUE) DATE: May 9, 2016, 2:30 PM, Local Time

NO LATE RESPONSES WILL BE ACCEPTED

#### SUBMITTAL LOCATIONS:

One (1) hard copy and one (1) electronic version to: Oregon State University Attn: Brooke Davison Construction Contracts Administration Oregon State University 644 SW 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. Corvallis, OR 97333

With four (4) hard copies to: Oregon State University-Cascades Attn: Kelly Sparks, Associate Vice President Finance and Strategic Planning Century Drive Annex 497 SW Century Drive, Ste. 105 Bend, OR 97702

> OSU BUSINESS AND BID OPPORTUNITIES WEBSITE: http://bid.oregonstate.edu/

#### 1. INTRODUCTION:

Oregon State University - Cascades (OSU-Cascades) is seeking qualifications from multi-disciplinary engineering teams for due diligence, site analyses and innovative remediation and design strategies (the "Project") for the 74-acre County Construction Demolition Landfill ("Demo Landfill"). The Project will provide significant input to OSU-Cascades' due diligence process on the Demo Landfill in advance of a possible purchase agreement with Deschutes County ("County").

The Project will include development of innovative solutions for remediation and reuse of the Demo Landfill through iterative sessions with the Long Range Development Plan ("LRDP") design team and the Oregon State University ("OSU") College of Engineering faculty. OSU-Cascades expects the selected engineering team ("Consultant") to include structural, geotechnical, civil and environmental engineers that collaborate seamlessly to develop integrated engineering solutions based on land utilization strategies developed in coordination with the LRDP team and faculty. The LRDP design team and Consultant will work together in this iterative process to determine the highest and best utilization of areas within the Demo Landfill, considering feasibility, costs, and risks. The scope of work will include comprehensive site assessment, innovative remediation strategies, engineering strategies, iterative designs in coordination with the LRDP team and OSU engineering faculty, geotechnical solutions and conceptual foundation designs, phasing strategies, identification of regulatory processes and requirements, cost estimations and identification of funding strategies for further analyses and remediation.

#### 2. PROJECT BACKGROUND:

OSU opened the OSU-Cascades branch campus in Bend in 2001, on the campus of Central Oregon Community College. In 2013, the Oregon Legislature committed funding to build a campus in Bend, to expand to a four-year university offering a range of undergraduate and graduate degrees. The long-range vision for the campus is to accommodate up to 5,000 students by 2025.

In December 2013, OSU-Cascades identified two parcels of land totaling 56 acres of property in Bend for development of the new campus. In February 2014, OSU-Cascades purchased one of the parcels, approximately 10 acres of land, for the first phase of construction. In February 2016, OSU-Cascades purchased the additional 46 acres, a former pumice mine. Construction at the 10-acre site began in July 2015 and includes an academic building, residence hall and dining/academic facility, as well as internal streets, paths, and parking. The first building on the 10-acre site is scheduled to open in fall 2016, while long range development planning occurs for future campus development.

In August 2015, OSU-Cascades and the County signed a letter of intent regarding a former construction demolition waste landfill ("Demo Landfill"), with an area of approximately 74 acres, and located adjacent to the west of the 10 acres owned by OSU-Cascades. The letter of intent manifests both parties intent to negotiate in good faith and allows OSU-Cascades to perform due diligence in cooperation with the County, including development of a site remediation plan and cost estimate, seeking OSU Board approval to purchase the property, and development of an Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") Prospective Purchaser Agreement. The term of the agreement is 24 months.

The Demo Landfill is an inactive construction and demolition (C&D) waste landfill that was previously a pumice surface mine. The Demo Landfill was developed in three distinct areas and operated under a DEQ solid waste permit from 1972 to 1996 to dispose of C&D waste, industrial waste, commercial waste, wood waste, brush, tires and concrete rubble. Most of the Demo Landfill was closed in 1997. Closure of the eastern 23-acre portion of the Demo Landfill remains unfinished due to waste pyrolysis.

In April 2016, OSU-Cascades tentatively selected the LRDP design team to develop strategies for land utilization of all potential parcels, including sustainability plans and design and building system standards. OSU-Cascades is dedicated to developing a leading edge campus that engages students, staff and the community through sustainability practices, innovative approaches and an inspiring learning environment. This vision is enveloped in the LRDP design project and the unique opportunities available for reclamation of the pumice mine and Demo Landfill for higher and better uses.

#### 3. PROJECT OBJECTIVES:

OSU-Cascades will conduct a due-diligence assessment that must determine the viability of the Demo Landfill for campus construction and quantify the comprehensive costs, risks and opportunities to remediate the property to a condition that would allow the proposed development to occur. OSU-Cascades will use this information to evaluate the property to determine whether and how to purchase the property. OSU-Cascades is seeking responses from multi-disciplinary engineering teams with a depth of structural, civil, environmental and geotechnical expertise to work in coordination with the LRDP design team, staff and faculty to develop, research and propose innovative solutions for Demo Landfill reclamation for campus development. OSU-Cascades seeks an innovative and experienced engineering consultant team that embraces the opportunity to develop unique engineering solutions for reclamation of land for the long-term productive use of a four-year university in Central Oregon.

#### 4. **PROJECT SCOPE:**

The activities listed in this scope of work are the general tasks anticipated for the Demo Landfill due diligence engineering contract. Qualified responding firms are expected to add work elements and deliverables as necessary to clearly define their proposal, with a focus on innovative approaches. Scope of services will include due diligence site assessments and development of remediation and reuse approaches, considering all innovative solutions to determine highest and best use of land, balanced with engineering and financial feasibility.

#### **<u>1 Project Management</u>**

Consultant shall prepare and manage project documents for safe, timely and high-quality project work products:

Quality Assurance/Quality Control ("QA/QC") Plan Safety and Access Plan for working in Demo Landfill Project Schedule Monthly Progress Reports Project Kick Off Meeting

#### 2 Initial Site Analyses and Recommendations

Based on all previously prepared information regarding the Demo Landfill, Consultant shall complete additional exploration and analyses as necessary to achieve the goals of the Project, including, but not limited to assessment of buried waste material, material structural stability, side slope stabilities and environmental concerns.

Existing reports and information include:

- Letter of Intent between OSU-Cascades and Deschutes County.
- Deschutes County Landfill Subsurface Investigations Study, Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc., 2008.
- Historical aerial imagery.
- Deschutes County Landfill Reuse Evaluation, MacKenzie, 2014.

#### RFQ Response due May 9, 2016 by 2:30 PM

- Former Demolition Landfill Mitigation Evaluation, APEX Companies, June 2014.
- Bend Demolition Landfill Information Sheet, DEQ.
- 46 acres studies geo-tech, soil stability, Phase 1 and 2s.

#### 3 Remediation and Reuse Strategies

Based on the completed site analyses, Consultant shall prepare a written recommendation for use by OSU-Cascades and the LRDP team that:

- Identifies, by sites on maps, Demo Landfill areas that are appropriate for specific types of remediation and development of buildings, parking areas, and other uses;
- Identifies areas within the Demo Landfill according to risk and level of development costs;
- Identifies approaches that consider the use of existing resources and land (46-acre pumice mine and 7-acre Parks & Recreation parcel) for cost reduction strategies including use of existing fill, use of land for receiving areas for fill, use of land for phasing/staging areas, etc.
- Identifies areas for unique opportunities for campus development, including but not limited to: academic projects, living laboratory university projects, subsurface construction, and material reclamation.

Based on the site analyses, input from the LRDP team, and input from OSU College of Engineering Faculty, Consultant shall develop and assess general engineering strategies for remediation and reuse of the Demo Landfill for consideration with various campus design alternatives. All strategies must include a minimal remediation of the entire Project site to comply with DEQ and EPA minimum requirements and enable a Prospective Purchaser Agreement.

Remediation strategies shall include, but are not limited to:

- Re-compaction of existing waste material to provide a firm, stable subsurface for construction of future structures.
- Full or partial removal and off-site disposal of waste material and import of new structural fill material for construction of future structures.
- Capping and closure of the Demo Landfill for future installation of deep foundations for future structures.
- Geotechnical and structural engineering solutions for foundation designs, stabilization techniques, etc. to accommodate load-bearing structures in areas with long-term settlement.
- Redistribution on site of landfill material.
- Development of consolidation cell(s).
- Beneficial uses of Demo Landfill material (biofuel, recycling, composting).

Consultant shall also identify how the site, or portions of the site, should be:

- Capped, if necessary.
- Ventilated, if necessary.
- Secured, if portions are determined to be non-viable for reclamation.
- Monitored following reclamation activities.

#### 4 Regulatory Processes

In parallel with the general engineering remediation and reuse strategies task, the Consultant shall summarize, in a report, the EPA and DEQ requirements (current and future) applicable to the site for Demo Landfill closure and cleanup. Mitigation approaches will be regulated through DEQ Solid Waste program. Changes to the permitted areas could require new closure permits or modifications. Selected Consultant to outline regulatory requirements, including solid waste permits, closure plans, any required groundwater monitoring, soil cover monitoring, methane mitigation/collection, leachate controls, etc., as well as necessary construction controls for odor and dust particles.

#### RFQ Response due May 9, 2016 by 2:30 PM

In addition, Consultant shall summarize in a report any other local, state, and federal regulations for air quality, water quality and storm water management.

#### 5 Iterative Design Process with LRDP Team, OSU Staff and OSU Faculty

Using the results of the site analyses and remediation/reuse strategies, the Consultant shall collaborate in an iterative process with the LRDP team to consider innovative development options for an integrated development plan of the potential additional campus area (pumice mine + Demo Landfill + Parks and Recreation facilities site). The Consultant shall work with the LRDP team to develop strategic approaches for highest and best use of specific Demo Landfill areas, while balancing costs and future liabilities from demolition waste. This collaborative design process shall consider building types, building locations, remediation feasibility, remediation and construction costs, risks, etc.

This iterative process shall include innovative solutions for development of a community-integrated living laboratory campus. Use of all available resources must be considered, including utilization of existing OSU-owned pumice mine resources and materials for phasing, stabilization and capping; use opportunistic local fill; and other phased approaches.

The results of this iterative design process shall be summarized in a final report that includes details specific to the selected alternatives, including engineering designs, phasing strategies, schedule, cost estimates, specific regulatory/permit requirements of identified alternatives, and risk assessments.

#### 6 Phasing Strategies & Schedule

Phasing strategies will be key for both remediation and campus development and will be developed by the Consultant, in coordination with the LRDP design team. Any phasing of remediation efforts must consider DEQ requirements for the Demo Landfill closure process and must be vetted by the DEQ. The Consultant shall identify opportunities and limitations for intermediary and long-term uses of the Demo Landfill.

#### 7 Cost Estimating

Cost estimating will be completed throughout the phases of the project and will be include costs for remediation and costs for building after (or in parallel with) remediation. Cost estimating will be part of the iterative process with the LRDP design team, considering innovative uses of the Demo Landfill. *Remediation cost estimating* will include cost estimates for various strategies, including excavation and earthwork, landfilling deposit costs, material processing, transport, capping, etc. *Construction cost estimating* will include civil and structural cost estimates for viable Demo Landfill reuse strategies for structural fill, foundations, and other geotechnical stabilization techniques for building on top of the remediated land, etc. Cost estimates shall be developed for the final conceptual design alternatives and compiled in the final report. These estimates will be utilized in OSU-Cascades budgeting as well as in the land valuation process.

#### 8 Geotechnical Report and Conceptual Foundation Designs

Based on the results of the iterative design process with the LRDP design team and engineering faculty, the Consultant shall prepare a geotechnical report for the Project site and conceptual foundation designs for a variety of structure types as proposed by the LRDP design team for the subsurface conditions identified. The geotechnical report and conceptual foundation designs will be used to compare costs of various remediation strategies.

#### 9 Final Report

Based on site analyses, engineering designs, and iterative collaboration with the LRDP design team and engineering faculty, the Consultant shall prepare a Final Report for site remediation and development.

This may include a variety of strategies for different areas of the site and future types of development. The Final Report must include, but not be limited to:

- Project site map showing depth and classification of fill material.
- Project site map showing feasible types of future development, with associated relative costs.
- Project site map showing remediation strategies for each area of fill material and future development.
- Cost estimate for complete Project site remediation for preferred alternatives.
- Schedule for complete Project site remediation.
- Phasing strategies.
- All permit requirements and schedule summary.
- Summary of any future monitoring, cleanup, and requirements following site remediation, and associated costs.

#### **10 Funding Strategies**

Consultant shall identify potential grant funding opportunities for future engineering and remediation of the Project Site. Consultant shall prepare a summary of grants, including: funding source, application requirements, and deadline for application. Consultant shall assist OSU-Cascades in preparation and review of up to three (3) grant applications.

#### 5. **PROJECT TIMELINE:**

Work will commence upon selection of the Consultant. The anticipated start date will be the beginning of June 2016, with project completion expected by November 2016.

) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
April 19, 2016	RFQ Issued
May 9, 2016	Responses Due
May 11, 2016	Tentative Short List
May 20-21, 2016	Tentative Interviews of Short List Teams as well as Participation in
	Workshop with OSU College of Engineering
May 23, 2016	Fee Proposal Deadline
May 26, 2016	Estimated Notice of Intent to Award
June 1, 2016	Estimated Contract Execution/Project Kick Off
October 31, 2016	Final reports due to OSU-Cascades
November 2016	OSU-Cascades Decision on Demo Landfill Acquisition
	A

\* A tentative onsite workshop is scheduled May 20-21, 2016 with the OSU School of Civil and Construction Engineering (a unit within the College of Engineering) faculty to discuss site conditions and explore a range of innovative opportunities for future development. If the selection committee elects to hold interviews, the short listed consultant teams shall participation in the workshop on May 20-21 as part of the interview process. Formal interviews with the selection committee will also be scheduled during this time.

#### 6. <u>SELECTION PROCESS:</u>

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) selection process will be conducted pursuant to this RFQ and OSU Standard 580-063-0020, relating to the selection and retention of professional consultants.

#### 7. <u>COMPENSATION</u>

Compensation shall be based on a total "not-to-exceed" amount for services and reimbursable expenses. The amount of compensation shall be negotiated with the respondent who has submitted the response that

the selection committee feels best meets the OSU-Cascades' needs (the Apparent Successful Respondent). No fee proposal or price information is to be submitted with responses.

#### 8. EVALUATION CRITERIA:

Please indicate in writing the following information about your firm's ability, proposed sub-consultants (where applicable) and desire to perform this work. Responses will be rated based upon the weight assigned to each item as noted in the parenthesis at the end of each statement below.

8.1 Provide a brief description of your firm and the focus of the practice. List the projects your firm is currently contracted for and at what stage the projects are in terms of completion. Also include your firm's total dollar volume for each of the last five years. (Weight 5)

8.2 Describe your team's experience with reclamation of landfills, mines, pumice materials, and/or other brownfield sites. Describe your team's experience with relevant innovative geotechnical, structural, environmental and civil strategies. (Weight 20)

8.3 Describe your team's experience working through an iterative process with other design teams, staff and faculty, to determine the highest and best utilization of land. Include a discussion of any design of higher education learning environments and living laboratory projects. (Weight 15)

8.4 Describe your team's proposed approach, scope and schedule to provide OSU-Cascades with the appropriate level of engineering due diligence and cost information to adequately inform decisions on the potential purchase of the Demo Landfill. (Weight 20)

8.5 Identify project experience of key personnel, including project engineers and project manager along with those of sub-consultants proposed to be assigned to the Project. Use specific examples and identify their roles in the projects. Indicate current availability, proposed percentage of project involvement per project phase and indicate whether the proposed team has worked together on previous projects. Highlight the individuals who participated in the project examples. (Weight 20)

8.6 Describe your firm's experience working with the DEQ and DOGAMI for reclamation of brownfield sites. Provide specific examples including information about the process you went through with the various agencies. (Weight 10)

8.7 Describe your firm's experience understanding, accommodating and prioritizing needs and requirements of students, staff and visitors with disabilities. (Weight 5)

8.8 Identify MWESB firms participating as part of the team. (Weight 5)

#### 9. <u>**REFERENCES**</u>:

In addition to responding to the evaluation criteria above, please provide the names, addresses and phone numbers of three owners, three sub-consultants, and three contractors to be used as references for this Project. Please verify that the individuals identified have had direct contact with the referenced project, and the phone number is current. Do not include references from any firms/individuals included in your engineering team for this Project or Oregon State University.

OSU-Cascades will check with these references and may check with other references associated with past work of your engineering team. OSU-Cascades will evaluate this information and any other independently

obtained references that can provide background on your engineering team. This information will not be separately scored, but results obtained from these and any other reference checks will be assessed in determining the final ranking of responses.

#### **10.** EVALUATION PROCESS:

This RFQ process may take place in two-steps. The first step includes evaluation of written qualifications submitted in response to this RFQ. OSU-Cascades reserves the right, but not the obligation, to then short-list at least two, but not more than three firms, who will be invited to participate in the second step, which will consist of interviews with the selection committee as well as participation in an onsite workshop with the College of Engineering faculty. The interviews with the selection committee are tentatively scheduled during the College of Engineering Workshops and shall be held on May 20-21, 2016.

Each of the RFQ evaluation criteria has been assigned a weight between 5 and 20. Each member of the evaluation committee will separately rank each response in each of the evaluation criteria between 0 and 5, and multiply that number by the weight assigned to the evaluation criteria. The individual evaluation committee members will then total the weighted score from all of the criteria to obtain a total score for each response.

The evaluation committee will meet and compare the individual evaluation committee member rankings. The committee will discuss firm strengths and weaknesses and the individual evaluation committee member scorings. The evaluation committee discussion will result in the consolidated ranking of all respondents. If the selection committee elects to for a two-step process, the final ranking will determine which respondents will be invited to participate in the second stage.

The RFQ also requires reference information for your firm. OSU-Cascades will utilize this information and any other independently obtained references that provide background on your firm. This information will not be separately scored, but results obtained from these and/or other reference checks will be utilized in evaluating and scoring in the other criteria and in the final ranking.

In addition, the RFQ response will be used in preparation for interviews of the finalists. Firms chosen to participate in the interviews may be asked to respond to additional questions designed to clarify and/or expand on their responses. Interviews will include a presentation period for the respondents to highlight their original response as well as respond to additional questions or information that may be requested in advance by the evaluation committee, and then a separate Q&A session. After all of the workshops, interviews, and discussions are completed, the evaluation committee will select the Apparent Successful Respondent by ranking the interviewed respondents based on all information received, presented, found and heard. OSU-Cascades will then send out a Notice of Intent to Award.

#### 11. <u>Responsibility Evaluation:</u>

OSU will investigate each respondent's responsibility in accordance with the requirements of OSU Standard 580, and will consider information obtained from any source as part of its evaluation, at any time prior to execution of a contract. Submission of a signed response constitutes the respondent's approval for OSU-Cascades to obtain any information OSU-Cascades deems necessary to conduct the evaluation including, but not limited to, credit reports and information discovered during reference checks.

Financial Information: OSU-Cascades will notify respondents, in writing, of any financial documentation required, which may include recent profit-and-loss history; current balance statements; assets-to-liabilities ratio, including number and amount of secured versus unsecured creditor claims; availability of short and long-term financing; bonding capacity and credit information.

#### RFQ Response due May 9, 2016 by 2:30 PM

OSU-Cascades may postpone the award or execution of a contract in order to complete its investigation and evaluation. Failure to promptly provide complete information requested will render the response nonresponsive. Failure of a respondent to demonstrate responsibility will render it non-responsible and constitute grounds for response rejection.

#### 12. SUBMISSION:

Submit your response to be <u>received</u> by the closing date and time listed in this document to:

#### One (1) hard copy and one (1) electronic version on a thumb drive to:

**Oregon State University** Attention: Brooke Davison Construction Contracts Administration Oregon State University 644 SW 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. Corvallis, OR 97333

#### With four (4) hard copies to:

**Oregon State University-Cascades** Attn: Kelly Sparks, Associate Vice President Finance and Strategic Planning Century Drive Annex 497 SW Century Drive, Ste. 105 Bend, OR 97702

Your response must be contained in a document **not to exceed twenty (20) single sided pages (do not print double sided)**, including pictures, charts, graphs, tables and text the respondent deems appropriate to be part of the review of the response. Resumes of key individuals proposed to be involved in this Project are exempted from the 20-page limit and should be **appended to the end of your response**. No other supplemental information to the 20-page response will be allowed. Appended resumes of the proposed key individuals, along with a transmittal letter, table of contents, front and back covers, and blank section/numerical dividers, etc., will not be counted in the 20 page limit.

## Information should be presented in the same order as the above evaluation criteria. The electronic response should be sized appropriately for transfer (under 8 MB).

## Your response must be signed by an officer of your firm with the authority to commit the firm and contain <u>contract information including email</u> for communication purposes.

OSU-Cascades may reject any response not in compliance with all prescribed public bidding procedures and requirements, and may cancel this solicitation or reject for good cause all responses upon a finding by OSU-Cascades that it is in the public interest to do so.

Please note that OSU-Cascades will not accept responses or queries that require OSU-Cascades to pay the cost of production or delivery.

OSU-Cascades is an AA/EEO employer.

The Corvallis submittal location shall be the official timekeeper for this RFQ. Any responses received after the closing date and time at the Corvallis submittal location will not be considered. An extension

## of 24 hours will be allowed for delivery to the Bend submittal location ONLY. Any responses received 24 hours after the closing date and time at the Bend submittal location will not be considered.

#### 13. QUESTIONS:

All questions and contacts with the OSU-Cascades regarding any information in this RFQ must be addressed in writing, fax or email to Brooke Davison at the address, email or fax listed in this document no later than April 28, 2016 at 12 p.m.

#### 14. <u>Solicitation Protests:</u>

You may submit a written request for clarification or change or protest of particular solicitation provisions and specifications and contract terms and conditions (including comments on any specifications or terms that you believe limits competition) to Debera Massahos at the address or email listed in this document. Requests and protests must be received no later than 12:00 p.m., May 2, 2016. Requests or protests must state the reasons for the request or protest and any proposed changes to the solicitation provisions and specifications and contract terms and conditions.

Construction Contracts Administration Oregon State University 644 SW Western Blvd. Corvallis, OR 97333 FAX: (541) 737-5546 Email: <u>debera.massahos@oregonstate.edu</u>

#### 15. <u>Changes or Modifications:</u>

Any change or modification to the specifications or the procurement process will be in the form of an addendum to the RFQ and will be made available on the OSU procurement website. No information published in any other manner will serve to change the RFQ in any way, regardless of the source of the information. Any request for clarification or change or protest of anything contained in an addendum not received by the date and time stated in the addendum will not be considered.

#### 16. <u>Selection Protests:</u>

Any respondent to this RFQ who claims to have been adversely affected or aggrieved by the selection of a competing respondent may submit a written protest of the selection to Debera Massahos at the address given in the RFQ within three business days after notification of that selection. Any such protests must be received by Ms. Massahos no later than three business days after the notification of selection has been made in order to be considered.

#### 17. PROPRIETARY INFORMATION:

OSU will retain this RFQ and one copy of each electronic response received, together with copies of all documents pertaining to the award of a contract. These documents will be made a part of a file or record, which will be open to public inspection after OSU has announced an Apparent Successful Respondent or all responses have been rejected. If a response contains any information that you consider to be a trade secret under ORS 192.501(2), you must mark each trade secret with the following legend: "This data constitutes a trade secret under ORS 192.501(2), and must not be disclosed except in accordance with the Oregon Public Records Law, ORS Chapter 192."

The Oregon Public Records Law exempts from disclosure only bona fide trade secrets, and the exemption from disclosure applies only "unless the public interest requires disclosure in the particular instance."

Therefore, non-disclosure of documents or any portion of a document submitted as part of a response may depend upon official or judicial determination made pursuant to the Public Records Law.

In order to facilitate public inspection of the non-confidential portion of the response, material designated as confidential must accompany the response, but must be readily separable from it. Prices, makes, model or catalog numbers of items offered, scheduled delivery dates, and terms of payment will be publicly available regardless of any designation to the contrary. Any response marked as a trade secret in its entirety will be considered non-responsive and will be rejected.

#### **18.** <u>**PROJECT TERMINATION:**</u>

OSU-Cascades is seeking to award an engineer's agreement to an engineering firm for engineering due diligence of the Demo Landfill; however, OSU-Cascades reserves the right to terminate the Project and the agreement at any time during the duration of the Project.

#### **19.** <u>INSURANCE PROVISIONS:</u>

During the term of the resulting contract, the successful respondent will be required to maintain in full force, at its own expense, from insurance companies authorized to transact the business of insurance in the state of Oregon, each insurance coverage/policy as set forth in the Agreement.

#### 20. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

Pursuant to OSU Standard 580-061-0030, by submitting a response, the respondent certifies that the respondent has not discriminated against Minority, Women or Emerging Small Business Enterprises in obtaining any required subcontracts.

Pursuant to OSU Standard 580-061-0040, respondents are hereby notified that policies applicable to consultants and contractors have been adopted by OSU that prohibit sexual harassment and that respondents and their employees are required to adhere to OSU's policy prohibiting sexual harassment in their interactions with members of OSU's community.

#### **ENCLOSURES:**

- OSU's Sample Engineering Agreement
- Vicinity Map of proposed campus
- Letter of Intent between OSU-Cascades and Deschutes County
- Deschutes County Landfill Subsurface Investigations Study, Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc., 2008.
- Deschutes County Landfill Reuse Evaluation, MacKenzie, 2014
- Former Demolition Landfill Mitigation Evaluation, APEX Companies, June 2014
- Bend Demolition Landfill Information Sheet, DEQ
- 46 acres studies geo-tech, soil stability, Phase 1 and 2s

#### End of RFQ