



## Attention Design Firms

**If you are downloading the RFQ from the website, continue to monitor the website for addenda. Failure to incorporate any addenda into your submittal may cause your submittal to be considered non-responsive.**

**Thank you.**

# OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

#185851

### **Gilbert 124 and 224 and Gilbert Addition 209 Renovation Design RFQ**

ISSUE DATE: January 17, 2017

RFQ CLOSING (DUE) DATE: February 6, 2017 3:00 p.m. Pacific Time

NO LATE RESPONSES WILL BE ACCEPTED

***This RFQ is only open to those firms with a current Oregon State University (OSU)  
Retainer Contract for Professional Services.***

#### **CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR:**

Brooke Davison  
Construction Contract Officer  
Construction Contract Administration  
Oregon State University  
644 SW 13<sup>th</sup> St.  
Corvallis, OR 97333  
Phone: (541) 737-7342  
FAX: (541) 737-5546  
Email: [brooke.davison@oregonstate.edu](mailto:brooke.davison@oregonstate.edu)

#### **SOLICITATION / SELECTION PROTESTS:**

Chief Procurement Officer in care of:  
Brooke Davison  
Construction Contract Administration  
Oregon State University  
644 SW 13<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Corvallis, OR 97333  
Phone: (541) 737-7342  
FAX: (541) 737-5546  
Email: [Brooke.Davison@oregonstate.edu](mailto:Brooke.Davison@oregonstate.edu)

## 1.0 Introduction:

Oregon State University is seeking qualifications from integrated design teams headed by an architectural firm for the design of an interior renovation to Gilbert Hall 124 and 224 classrooms and Gilbert Addition 209 teaching laboratory in Corvallis, OR. The architecture firm is free to sub-contract as necessary to ensure a complete design team, including but not limited to Structural, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Electrical Engineers and Cost Consultants.

This RFQ is only open to those firms with a current Oregon State University (OSU) Retainer Contract for Professional Services. If your firm does not have a current Retainer Contract for Professional Services with Oregon State University at the time of the RFQ due date, your response will be rejected for non-responsiveness.

Scope of services will include the following phases: Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Documents, including two cost estimates, Bidding and Construction Administration.

## 2.0 Project Description:

Oregon State University intends to make renovations to Gilbert Hall 124 and 224 classrooms and Gilbert Addition 209 teaching laboratory, to provide better ADA access and pathways, updated pedagogy and renewal of the space.

Specific scopes include:

### *Gilbert Auditorium classrooms 124/224 and support room*

- Accessibility analysis and upgrade.
  - o Currently there are no accessible routes to the head of the room
    - Evaluate possible routes for consideration.
    - ADA seating in back of rooms needs better more universal access.
- Update of classroom seating
  - o Evaluate seating and seating configuration options.
- Update teaching head wall (marker boards and screens) and teaching podium.
  - o Possible minor floor re-configuration in 124
- Update room lighting, acoustics and overall presentation.
- Add demonstration fume exhaust hoods
- Teaching Media support infrastructure, conduits and conductors
  - o Actual Teaching Media equipment will be by OSU
- The rooms are too hot on warm days
  - o Evaluate and add cooling or ventilation
- Upgrades to support space as budget allows
- Direct Construction Budget is \$800,000

### *Gilbert Addition teaching lab 209 and support rooms*

- Evaluate accessibility

## Gilbert 124 and 224 and Gilbert Addition 209 Renovation Design RFQ

- Most of the scope is in the attached report from Systems West Engineering dated September 2012.
  - o OSU intends to pursue option 2 from the report
- Upgrades to support space as budget allows
- Direct Construction Budget is \$1,700,000

3.0 Energy Efficiency:

The project will be designed to applicable LEED silver equivalency and must meet the state building code for energy efficiency.

4.0 Design and Construction Timeline

Work will commence upon execution of a contract. Below is the projected design timeline. OSU has not identified the funding for the construction phase of this project, so the construction timeline is not yet available.

	Start	Finish
Schematic Design	3/15/17	4/15/17
Design Development	4/15/17	6/1/17
Construction Documents	6/1/17	7/30/17
Permitting/Bidding	7/30/17	9/30/17
Construction Administration	1/15/18	8/15/18

5.0 Total Project Budget

The projected total project cost (including construction) is approximately \$2.5 million.

6.0 Selection Process:

This Request for Qualifications selection process will be conducted pursuant to the terms of this RFQ and OSU Standard 580-063-0020, relating to the selection and retention of professional consultants.

7.0 Compensation:

Compensation will be based on a total “not-to-exceed” amount for services and reimbursable expenses, with “not-to-exceed” maximums for the following individual phases of the design: schematic design, design development, construction documents (including two cost estimates), bidding and construction administration. The amount of compensation will be negotiated with the respondent who has submitted the best qualifications (the Apparent Successful Respondent). **No cost proposal or price information is to be submitted with qualification responses.**

8.0 Evaluation Criteria:

Please indicate in writing the following information about your firm's ability and desire to perform this work. Qualification responses will be rated based upon the weight assigned to each item as noted in the parentheses at the end of each statement below.

- 8.1.1 Provide a brief description of your firm and the focus of the practice. List the projects your firm is currently contracted for and at what stage the projects are in terms of completion. (Weight: 5)
- 8.1.2 Describe your firm's experience with design and construction of classrooms and teaching labs and projects of similar size and budget as defined in this RFQ. (Weight: 25)
- 8.1.3 Identify key personnel, including project designer and project manager along with those of sub-consultants proposed, to be assigned to this project. Include proposed key personnel's project experience, with specific examples and identify their roles in the projects. Highlight the individuals who participated in the project examples, and include their resumes. (Weight: 25)
- 8.1.4 Describe your firm's experience with ADA centric renovations. (Weight: 10)
- 8.1.5 Workforce Diversity Plan  
Provide a description and identification of Minority Business Enterprise (MBE), Women Business Enterprise (WBE) or Emerging Small Business (ESB) certifications for the Respondent and a description of the Respondent's nondiscrimination practices. Provide any historical information on MBE, WBE or ESB Joint Ventures, subcontracting or mentoring plan, and utilization history for projects completed within the past three (3) years.

Provide a narrative description of the Respondent's current workforce diversity program/plan, and the plan for obtaining subcontracting, consulting, and supplier diversity for this project. Include a description of the outreach program or plan, including a schedule of events and specific steps that will be taken to maximize broad based and inclusive participation and the plan to provide mentoring, technical or other business development services to subcontractors needing or requesting such services.

The Respondent shall perform the Work and the Contract with respect to diversity according to the means and methods described in Respondent's workforce plan described in the Response, unless changes are requested and approved in writing in advance by OSU or are required by applicable laws, ordinances, codes, regulations, rules or standards.

Also, identify MWESB firms participating as part of the team. (Weight: 20)

9.0 References:

In addition to responding to the evaluation criteria above, please provide the names, addresses and phone numbers of three owners, three sub-consultants, and three contractors to be used as references for this project. Please verify that the individuals identified have had direct contact with the referenced project, and the phone number is current. Please do not include references from any firms or individuals included in your design team for this Project, nor any references from OSU personnel. OSU may check these references and may check other references associated with past work of your firm. OSU will evaluate this information and any other independently obtained references that can provide background on your firm.

10.0 Projected Selection Procedure and Timetable:

The selection procedure described below will be used to evaluate the capabilities of interested firms to provide the professional services to OSU for this project.

January 17, 2017	Issue RFQ
January 26, 2017, 3:00 PM	Mandatory Site Visit Meet in room 124 of Gilbert Hall
February 6, 2017	RFQ response due 3:00 PM, Pacific Time
February 22, 2017	Fee Proposal Deadline (for Apparent Successful Respondent)/Notice of Intent to Award
March 15, 2017	Estimated Contract Execution

Site Visit: A mandatory pre-response site visit/walk through will be held on Thursday, January 26, 2017 at 3:00 PM. Meet in room 124 of Gilbert Hall on the Oregon State University Corvallis campus. A representative of each respondent's firm is required to attend. The pre-response site visit will be the respondents' main opportunity to discuss the Project with OSU. Responses will not be accepted from respondents who have not had a representative attend the mandatory pre-response site visit/walk through.

11.0 Evaluation Process:

The responses to this RFQ will be evaluated by the selection committee, which will be comprised of representatives from OSU and other university personnel who do not score qualifications statements or rank finalists. OSU will utilize this RFQ process to obtain information to enable selection of the most qualified respondent through evaluation of:

- a. The respondents' responses to evaluation criteria in section 8 of this document;
- b. The results of discussions with the respondents' references and others.

Each criterion in the evaluation process has been assigned a weight between 5 and 25. Each member of the evaluation committee will rate each firm in each criterion between 1

and 5 (five being the highest), and multiply that number by the weight assigned to the criterion. The evaluation committee members will then total the weighted score from all of the criteria to obtain the total score.

The evaluation committee will meet and compare the individual evaluation committee member scores. The committee will discuss firm strengths and weaknesses and the individual evaluation committee member scorings. The firm that has the highest overall score will be deemed the Apparent Successful Respondent. Final scoring will be based on finalist's response to the evaluation criteria in section 7, and through that response, how well each finalist can meet the Project and University needs.

If, during the discussion, the selection committee determines the consolidated scores of two or more firms are very close, the university has no recent experience working with a high scoring firm, or if the consolidated scoring indicates a tie, the committee will check the references provided by the respondent as required by this RFQ. Information obtained from references may alter the committee's final scoring of Respondents. Any alteration of final scoring will be based on committee's understanding of how well each firm can meet the needs of the Project and University.

OSU will then negotiate with the Apparent Successful Respondent the price and specific statement of work of a Supplement, consistent with OSU's Retainer Supplement for Professional Services attached to this RFQ. If OSU and the Apparent Successful Respondent are unable to reach agreement, OSU will negotiate with the second-ranked respondent, etc.

#### 12.0 Responsibility Evaluation:

OSU will investigate each respondent's responsibility in accordance with the requirements of Division 61 of OSU Standard Chapter 580, and will consider information obtained from any source as part of its evaluation, at any time prior to execution of a contract. Submission of a signed qualification response constitutes the respondent's approval for OSU to obtain any information OSU deems necessary to conduct the evaluation including, but not limited to, credit reports and information discovered during reference checks.

Financial Information: OSU will notify respondents, in writing, of any financial documentation required, which may include recent profit-and-loss history; current balance statements; assets-to-liabilities ratio, including number and amount of secured versus unsecured creditor claims; availability of short and long-term financing; bonding capacity and credit information.

OSU may postpone the award or execution of a contract in order to complete its investigation and evaluation. Failure to promptly provide complete information requested will render the qualification response nonresponsive. Failure of a respondent to demonstrate responsibility will render it non-responsible and constitute grounds for qualification response rejection.

### 13.0 Submission:

Submit **five (5)** hard copy versions of your written qualification response, along **with one (1) electronic version on a thumb drive** to be received by the closing date and time listed in this document to:

Attention: Brooke Davison  
Capital Projects Contract Administration  
Oregon State University  
644 SW 13<sup>th</sup> St.  
Corvallis, OR 97333

Your qualification response must be contained in a document **not to exceed ten (10) single-sided pages (do not print double sided)**, including pictures, charts, graphs, tables and text the respondent deems appropriate to be part of the review of the respondent's response. Resumes of key individuals proposed to be involved in this project are exempted from the 10-page limit and should be **appended to the end of your response**. No supplemental information to the 10-page qualification response will be allowed. Appended resumes of the proposed key individuals, along with a transmittal letter, table of contents, front and back covers, and blank section/numerical dividers, etc., will not be counted in the 10-page limit.

Information should **be presented in the same order as the above evaluation criteria**. **The electronic qualification response should be sized appropriately for transfer (under 8 MB)**. The written response should be submitted in a **soft-bound** (comb or spiral, spiral preferred – no three-ring binders) format with page size of **8 ½ x 11 inches** with no fold-outs. The basic text information of the response should be presented in standard business font size, and reasonable margins.

**Your qualification response must be signed by an officer of your firm with the authority to commit the firm and contain contact information including email for communication purposes.**

OSU may reject any qualification response not in compliance with all prescribed public bidding procedures and requirements, and may cancel this solicitation or reject for good cause all qualification responses upon a finding by OSU that it is in the public interest to do so.

Please note that OSU will not accept qualification responses or queries that require OSU to pay the cost of production or delivery.

OSU is an AA/EEO employer.

**Telephone, facsimile, or electronically transmitted submittals will not be accepted.**

**Qualification responses received after the closing date and time will not be considered.**



#### 14.0 Questions:

All questions and contacts with the OSU regarding any information in this RFQ must be addressed in writing, fax or email to Brooke Davison at the address, email or fax listed in this document no later than January 30, 2017 at 2 p.m. Pacific Time.

#### 15.0 Solicitation Protests:

You may submit a written request for clarification or change or protest of particular solicitation provisions and specifications and contract terms and conditions (including comments on any specifications or terms that you believe limits competition) to the Chief Procurement Officer in care of Brooke Davison at the address, email or fax listed in this document. Requests and protests must be received no later than January 23, 2017 at 12 p.m. Pacific Time. Requests or protests must state the reasons for the request or protest and any proposed changes to the solicitation provisions and specifications and contract terms and conditions.

#### 16.0 Change or Modification:

Any change or modification to the specifications or the procurement process will be in the form of an addendum to the RFQ and will be made available in the form of an addendum posted to the OSU Business and Bid Opportunities (<http://bid.oregonstate.edu/>) website. No information published in any other manner will serve to change the RFQ in any way, regardless of the source of the information. Any request for clarification or change or protest of anything contained in an addendum not received by the date and time stated in the addendum will not be considered.

#### 17.0 Selection Protests:

Any respondent to this RFQ who claims to have been adversely affected or aggrieved by the selection of a competing respondent may submit a written protest of the selection to the Chief Procurement Officer in care of Brooke Davison at the address given in the RFQ within three days after notification of that selection. Any such protests must be received by Ms. Davison no later than three days after the notification of selection has been made in order to be considered. The selection decision notification will be made by Ms. Davison via posting to the OSU Bid and Business Opportunities website ([bid.oregonstate.edu](http://bid.oregonstate.edu)).

#### 18.0 Proprietary Information:

OSU will retain this RFQ, one copy of each qualification response received and an electronic copy of each qualification response received, together with copies of all documents pertaining to the award of a contract. These documents will be made a part of a file or record, which will be open to public inspection after OSU has announced an Apparent Successful Respondent or all qualification responses have been rejected. If a qualification response contains any information that you consider to be a trade secret

under ORS 192.501(2), you must mark each trade secret with the following legend:

**"This data constitutes a trade secret under ORS 192.501(2), and must not be disclosed except in accordance with the Oregon Public Records Law, ORS Chapter 192."**

The Oregon Public Records Law exempts from disclosure only bona fide trade secrets, and the exemption from disclosure applies only "unless the public interest requires disclosure in the particular instance."

Therefore, non-disclosure of documents or any portion of a document submitted as part of a qualification response may depend upon official or judicial determination made pursuant to the Public Records Law.

In order to facilitate public inspection of the non-confidential portion of the qualification response, material designated as confidential must accompany the qualification response, but must be readily separable from it. Prices, makes, model or catalog numbers of items offered, scheduled delivery dates, and terms of payment will be publicly available regardless of any designation to the contrary. Any qualification response marked as a trade secret in its entirety will be considered non-responsive and will be rejected.

#### 19.0 Project Termination:

OSU is seeking to award a Professional Consultant Retainer Supplement to an architectural firm for schematic design, design development, and construction documents; however, OSU reserves the right to terminate the project and the agreement, at any phase in the project.

#### 20.0 Insurance Provisions:

During the term of the resulting contract, the successful respondent will be required to maintain in full force, at its own expense, from insurance companies authorized to transact the business of insurance in the state of Oregon, each insurance coverage/policy as set forth in the Agreement.

#### 21.0 Additional Requirements:

Pursuant to OSU Standard 580-061-0030, by submitting a qualification response, the respondent certifies that the respondent has not discriminated against Minority, Women or Emerging Small Business Enterprises in obtaining any required subcontracts.

Pursuant to OSU Standard 580-061-0040, respondents are hereby notified that policies applicable to consultants and contractors have been adopted by OSU that prohibit sexual harassment and that respondents and their employees are required to adhere to OSU's policy prohibiting sexual harassment in their interactions with members of OSU's community.

Enclosures:

OSU Sample Professional Consulting Retainer Supplement

Gilbert Addition 209 Teaching Lab Schematic Design Report date September 2012

End of RFQ